

Re: another dead HD, which do you use?

## Re: another dead HD, which do you use?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-06/msg02365.html>

---

- *From:* "colin" <[no.spam.for.me@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:no.spam.for.me@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 17 Jun 2005 16:13:46 GMT
- 

"Pooh Bear" <[rabbitsfriendsandrelations@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:rabbitsfriendsandrelations@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message [news:42B2F0A8.D6B514C6@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:42B2F0A8.D6B514C6@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

> John Popelish wrote:

>

>> martin griffith wrote:

>>> 2 HD's dead this month, googleling for "Hard Drive Reliabilty" gives

>>> zillions of pages of adverts saying how reliable Our HD's are. Even

>>> tomshardware didnt go into it in detail

>>>

>>> What do you use?

>>>

>>> BTW I notice that my latest drive must not be exposed to more than

>>> 350G's of shock. I'd accept 100G's if they lasted longer...

>>

>> The trouble with this information is that by the time you have

>> established that any brand or model has long term reliability, the

>> design has changed. That said, I have had very good results with 3 or

>> 4 Western Digital Caviar EIDE drives. They have all lasted in excess

>> of 5 years (some used almost every day) and are still doing fine.

>> They are not the high spindle speed versions, however, and all the new

>> ones seem to run at 7200 RPM.

>

> Just to spoil the fun I've seen a totally seized WD Caviar ( spindle motor

> won't run – admittedly after storage ) and another one I had used to make

> disturbing 'zizzing' noises – and that was a 5200 rpm drive !

>

> 7200 rpm is the norm for desktop drives now. 10,000 is not unusual – once

> the province of ultra high spec SCSI drives that I think now run at up to

> 15,000.

>

> Oh I've had – pro–rata – more trouble with Seagate drives than I would wish

> on anyone. I wouldn't touch one with a bargepole now.

>

>

> Graham

>

Re: another dead HD, which do you use?

Re: another dead HD, which do you use?

same here, i can honestly say that all the drives ive had trouble with have been seagate both at work and at home, however this was some time ago when any other than seagate was just about unafordable, so there were a lot of them about, but ive since had a lot of maxtor/quantum drives that have been used 24/7 that have never had a hiccup, only replaced to upgrade size.

I initially bought maxtor because they were the only company to publish reliability records. the latest maxtor was super quiet, i just purchased a hitachi cos it was the only one of its size but its far noisier.

Colin =^.=

---

• **References:**

- ◆ ***another dead HD, which do you use?***  
    ◇ *From: martin griffith*
- ◆ ***Re: another dead HD, which do you use?***  
    ◇ *From: John Popelish*
- ◆ ***Re: another dead HD, which do you use?***  
    ◇ *From: Pooh Bear*

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Whole house ventilation***
- Next by Date: ***Re: another dead HD, which do you use?***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: another dead HD, which do you use?***
- Next by thread: ***Re: another dead HD, which do you use?***
- Index(es):
  - ◆ ***Date***
  - ◆ ***Thread***