

Re: OT: Screen resolution popularity rankings

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-07/msg00340.html>

- *From:* Tom MacIntyre <tom_macintyre@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 03 Jul 2005 15:24:12 GMT
-

On Sun, 03 Jul 2005 10:16:18 -0400, keith <krw@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

>On Sun, 03 Jul 2005 11:40:48 +0100, Terry Pinnell wrote:

>

>> The user at the end of this league table must have eyes like a hawk!

>> <http://www.scantips.com/basics1c.html>

>> (Link 'Vote', one third of way down.)

>

>I'm running 2560x1025 (dual 19" displays @ 1280x1024) here at home. At

>work it's 3200x1200 on a 15" laptop and a 20" CRT. I like lotsa pixels. ;-)

>

>BTW, over 50 and no glasses (readers occasionally). When I was younger I

>was 20/10R and 20/15L.

I was 20:13 both when younger, still a bit better than 20:20 now.

Sadly, my near vision is a different story. Five years ago, at an optometrist appointment, I could read the same small print as my 9 and 6 year old children. I was using 1024X768 on a 14" monitor. I worked in electronics repair, and rarely needed a magnifier. I'll be 49 next month, and need 1.5 on the left eye, and 1.75 on the right, just to read or use a computer (1024X768 on a 17" or 19" monitor).

I believe there are 3 factors involved here...

1-Natural aging

2-Getting out of the repair business (if you don't use it, you lose it)

3-Prematurely becoming dependent on the reading glasses... YMMV :-)

Tom

.

- *References:*

- ◆ *OT: Screen resolution popularity rankings*

- ◇ *From:* Terry Pinnell

- ◆ *Re: OT: Screen resolution popularity rankings*

- ◇ *From:* keith

Re: OT: Screen resolution popularity rankings

- Prev by Date: *granted electronic patent*
- Next by Date: *Re: granted electronic patent*
- Previous by thread: *Re: OT: Screen resolution popularity rankings*
- Next by thread: *Equivalent of Omron B3F 9100*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*