

Guy Mason sucks donkey dicks (was... Oh, well, figure it out for yourself)

# Guy Mason sucks donkey dicks (was... Oh, well, figure it out for yourself)

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-07/msg00761.html>

---

- *From:* John Fields <jfields@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Wed, 06 Jul 2005 13:47:07 -0500
- 

On Wed, 06 Jul 2005 17:43:46 +0000, Guy Macon  
<\_see.web.page\_@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

>  
>  
>  
>John Fields wrote:  
>>  
>>Guy Macon wrote:  
>>  
>>>Tony Williams wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> It is bad netiquette to change the subject line  
>>>> without a "Was:" explanation in the new title.  
>>>  
>>>No it isn't.  
>>  
>>Just because you say it isn't doesn't mean it isn't.  
>>It is, because without the 'was' it leads to confusion  
>>which wouldn't have been there had you not changed the  
>>title, and causes the reader to have to unjustifiably  
>>resolve the confusion.  
>  
>Rather than simply trading assertions, I decided to ask about  
>this claim in the news.admin.net-abuse.policy newsgroup under  
>the subject heading "Re: [usenet] Threading by references or by  
>'Was' in the subject?".  
>  
>I suggest that you read the replies to that thread in order  
>to further educate yourself about this aspect of netiquette.

---

I suggest that you stop being such a horse's ass and pay attention to what people are telling you about your nasty little posting tricks instead of trying to squirm out of a situation where you're wrong by trying to find "rules" which say you're right. You may have noticed that 'netiquette' sounds a lot like 'etiquette', the reason being that 'etiquette' is the group of customs we generally adhere to on a

Guy Mason sucks donkey dicks (was... Oh, well, figure it out for yourself)

day-to-day basis off of the net and includes the courtesies we extend to and niceties we offer each other in order to make life easier and more pleasant. 'Netiquette' is much younger than etiquette, but still is based on the same premise, basically that courtesy and kindness out will result in courtesy and kindness back.

Part of that courtesy is to avoid creating confusion, and if by changing the subject line of an old post and not referring to the old one (while still maintaining the old content) in the new one you know you will create confusion (how could you truthfully assume otherwise???) that's not a courteous act, and shows a lack of etiquette or, in this case, 'netiquette'.

You may have noticed that one of the replies to your query indicated that to include the 'was' and the old reference in the subject was just common courtesy, and he was precisely right.

So, in your vain attempt to use 'rules' to justify away your rudeness you have hoisted yourself on your own petard. Excellent!!!

—

John Fields  
Professional Circuit Designer

.

---

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ *Re: Guy Mason sucks donkey dicks (was... Oh, well, figure it out for yourself)*  
◇ From: Jim Thompson
- ◆ *Oops... That should have been "Guy Macon" (was Re: Guy Mason sucks donkey dicks (was... Oh, well, figure it out for yourself))*  
◇ From: John Fields

• *References:*

- ◆ *PROBLEM! misc.business.product-dev.. Extending floating point precision*  
◇ From: Genome
- ◆ *Re: PROBLEM! misc.busines...*  
◇ From: Guy Macon
- ◆ *Re: PROBLEM! misc.busines...*  
◇ From: Tony Williams
- ◆ *Re: PROBLEM! misc.busines...*  
◇ From: Guy Macon
- ◆ *Re: PROBLEM! misc.busines...*  
◇ From: John Fields
- ◆ *Re: PROBLEM! misc.busines...*  
◇ From: Guy Macon

- Prev by Date: *Re: OPA349 spice model acting weird*
- Next by Date: *Re: OPA349 spice model acting weird*
- Previous by thread: *Re: PROBLEM! misc.busines...*

Guy Mason sucks donkey dicks (was... Oh, well, figure it out for yourself)

- Next by thread: *Oops... That should have been "Guy Macon" (was Re: Guy Mason sucks donkey dicks (was... Oh, well, figure it out for yourself))*
- Index(es):
  - ◆ *Date*
  - ◆ *Thread*