

Re: OPA349 spice model acting weird

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-07/msg00771.html>

- *From:* Winfield Hill <Winfield_member@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 6 Jul 2005 11:56:49 -0700
-

Jim Thompson wrote...

>

> But that provokes a question to you... Would you PAY for a good
> model?

I would (and have, with lots of lost time making and vetting my own, that is). But before laying out my cash I'd want to see some documentation that it was good enough for my needs – sort of a spice– model datasheet with specs and plots.

I wouldn't want to pay thousands of dollars.

—

Thanks,
– Win

• **References:**

- ◆ ***OPA349 spice model acting weird***
 ◇ *From:* Tom (at tomsweb.net)
 - ◆ ***Re: OPA349 spice model acting weird***
 ◇ *From:* Helmut Sennewald
 - ◆ ***Re: OPA349 spice model acting weird***
 ◇ *From:* Helmut Sennewald
 - ◆ ***Re: OPA349 spice model acting weird***
 ◇ *From:* Tom (at tomsweb.net)
 - ◆ ***Re: OPA349 spice model acting weird***
 ◇ *From:* Helmut Sennewald
 - ◆ ***Re: OPA349 spice model acting weird***
 ◇ *From:* Jim Thompson
-
- Prev by Date: ***Re: Guy Mason sucks donkey dicks (was... Oh, well, figure it out for yourself)***
 - Next by Date: ***Re: ATTENTION!!!!!! We have assumed control.***
 - Previous by thread: ***Re: OPA349 spice model acting weird***
 - Next by thread: ***Just for Genome?***
 - Index(es):

Re: OPA349 spice model acting weird

- ◆ Date
- ◆ Thread