

# Re: parametric detector

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-07/msg01576.html>

---

- *From:* "colin" <[no.spam.for.me@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:no.spam.for.me@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Wed, 13 Jul 2005 03:31:56 GMT
- 

"doug dwyer" <[dd@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dd@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:qhU6G7E21v0CFwFV@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:qhU6G7E21v0CFwFV@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
> In message <[xzmAe.8581\\$Dq.7318@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:xzmAe.8581$Dq.7318@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>, colin  
> <[no.spam.for.me@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:no.spam.for.me@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> writes  
> >"doug dwyer" <[dd@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dd@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
> >[news:98vvxpAYmT0CFwn1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:98vvxpAYmT0CFwn1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
> >> In message <[Z6Qze.7334\\$Dq.3916@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Z6Qze.7334$Dq.3916@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>, colin  
> >> <[no.spam.for.me@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:no.spam.for.me@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> writes  
> >> >Hi,  
> >> > Im looking at using a parametric method for a phase detector,  
> >> >The source (~4mhz) is already very strong but the sideband, @ 1-10hz,  
is  
> >> >extremely low, I am considering using a quartz crystal as the most  
> >> >sensitive  
> >> >slope detector but to get a significant signal to noise ratio I would  
> >> >need a  
> >> >crystal with a Q of over a million. I dont expect acheiving such a  
high Q  
> >> >is  
> >> >practical, unless cooling it drasticaly would help ?  
> >> >  
> >> >The main noise problem is 1/f noise especialy as a high Q circuit  
would  
> >> >need  
> >> >a high impedance amplifier and a mosfet would have too high 1/f noise  
at  
> >> ><10hz.  
> >> >  
> >> >However I cant see a way of using a paramatric method for a detector,  
at  
> >> >least not with any gain, I havnt realy looked at them much before,  
> >> >although  
> >> >I know the basic principles of operation of using as using variable  
> >> >reactance in a ac voltage dividor or charge/voltage pump.  
> >> >  
> >> >I came accros an old parametric amplifier by AD the 310/311 but I  
doubt  
> >> >they  
> >> >make this any more, at least something like this might be usefull

Re: parametric detector

after a

>>> >diode detector, although I am not sure about how much the diode noise

>>> >particularly 1/f noise would be.

>>> >

>>> >Colin =^.^=

>>> >

>>> >

>>> I understand that you intend to apply a 4MHz signal with low sidebands

>>> to a high Q crystal offset slightly so that phase modulation will

appear

>>> as amplitude modulation

>>

>>Hi, thanks, yes that's right :)

>>

>>> and then perhaps employ a low frequency

>>> spectrum analyser to look at the phase noise. Is this the idea?

>>

>>well it is actually a modulation signal I'm trying to detect rather than

just

>>noise but it is a very similar problem, of course the phase noise of the

>>reference oscillator is a big issue but I intend to try and null most of

>>that out I hope.

>>

>>> If so:

>>> A good quality 4MHz crystal can have a Q approaching 1000000.

>>> All depends on the diameter/contour surface polish and the quality of

>>> quartz used.

>>

>>I'll go looking for some nice shiny quartz rocks... I chose 4MHz as it

seemed

>>they had the lowest series resistance for the frequency corresponding to

>>highest Q although manufacturers don't list Q on a per frequency basis,

its

>>generally 20k for off the shelf types, I'm not sure if it's advantageous to

use

>>an overtone or not. I'm not sure if I can get any better ones, but the

ones I

>>bought seem to demonstrate a higher Q than anticipated from the

simulation.

> Intrinsic acoustic loss is per cycle so ultimate Q reduces from about

> 10000000 at 1 MHz to 100000 at 100MHz.

> Modern crystals use grown quartz which can be much lower Q however the

> best grown approaches the ultimate.

> A 5 MHz 5th overtone will have a Q of 1 to 2000000 but cannot be driven

> hard.

>

>>

>>> The crystal could be operated at its series resonance and terminated in

>>> 50 ohms so that a <1nV/√Hz amplifier could be used.

>>> The technique is not unknown

>>

Re: parametric detector

## Re: parametric detector

>>aha, I have looked at using it in parallel mode trying to use the  
>>considerably high voltage generated from resonance to improve snr before  
>>detection, although I was worried about destroying the crystal I can  
easily  
>>see 50v pk-pk.  
>>I will experiment with the series mode in ltspice, the problem with most  
>>1nv/hz<sup>-2</sup> amplifiers is 1/f noise is still quite high as much as 1uv total  
>>below 10hz, not that many manufacturers seem to specify noise below 10hz,  
>>but certainly it has meant i have had to rethink things, I was hoping a  
>>parametric amp would avoid the 1/f problem unless i am mistaken here ?  
>>I have managed to get significant gain using the reactance of a varactor  
in  
>>a tuned circuit, but there still the problem of the preceding detector  
>>diode.  
>>  
>>  
>>> Marconi manufactured a tuneable cavity in  
>>> the 400MHz range for the purpose.  
>>  
>>so could a 400mhz cavity have a higher Q ?  
>>  
>>> The orthodox approach would be to mix a high q crystal reference  
>>> frequency with the "unknown" and explore the LF result with an  
analyser.  
>>> I did well with this approach employing the steep side of an ssb  
crystal  
>>> filter to attenuate the resultant mixed carrier whilst looking at the 0  
>>> to 3kHz ssb noise.  
>>  
>>Ive seen test application where the same type of oscillator is used as the  
>>reference if it is a VCXO of course.  
>>Ive tried using a PLL with a OCXO but this proved disappointing, of course  
>>one never fully knows if its the topology used or just unlucky  
construction  
>>with such low snr, from what I can gather I think 1/f noise can be  
degraded  
>>with handling/soldering etc.  
>>  
>>> With careful use of low noise gain blocks stepped attenuator to  
overcome  
>>> the dynamic range limitations of the 141T I could see down to -145db  
>>> from the carrier.  
>>  
>>Thats quite impressive.  
>>  
>>> For another approach see error multipliers , these circuits are seldom  
>>> seen now but you may be able to buy an old Tracor ? for the purpose.  
>>  
>>I will look into this with interest.  
>>  
>>> Finally a counter with low noise reference, high clock frequency and

Re: parametric detector

Re: parametric detector

>>> multiperiod capability can be programmed to convert jitter to ssb noise.  
>>> See "AllensTime"  
>>  
>>thnks  
>>  
>>Colin =^.^=  
>>  
>>

Hi again,

I looked at using series resoance with a 50ohm resistor but the much lower voltage means a much smaler signal even tho the slope is higher, I did consider a curent transformer aproach but think it wpould be pushing things a bit to actualy get more SNR.

I looked at auto zero amplifiers and found they auto cancel the 1/f noise along with the dc offest, the AD8552 looks superb, very low voltage noise 1-10hz and very low input curent/noise too, so i can use a highly tuned high impedance/high voltage section to give maximum signal, so i got one of these now, (I already had one in my parts box !) and boarding it up now.

I couldnt find much on error multipliers, maybe il look some more ...

Colin =^.^=  
.

---

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ **Re: parametric detector**  
◇ From: doug dwyer

• *References:*

- ◆ **parametric detector**  
◇ From: colin
- ◆ **Re: parametric detector**  
◇ From: doug dwyer
- ◆ **Re: parametric detector**  
◇ From: colin
- ◆ **Re: parametric detector**  
◇ From: doug dwyer

- Prev by Date: **Re: HELP needed with relay bounce**
- Next by Date: **Re: basic ECL question**
- Previous by thread: **Re: parametric detector**
- Next by thread: **Re: parametric detector**
- Index(es):

Re: parametric detector

Re: parametric detector

- ◆ Date
- ◆ Thread