

# Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005–09/msg00155.html>

---

- *From:* Winfield Hill <Winfield\_member@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* 1 Sep 2005 05:27:42 –0700
- 

jmeyer@xxxxxxxxxxxx wrote...

>

- > All persons moving back into the affected area should be required to sign
- > a release form stating that they know the area is potentially dangerous and
- > relinquishing any and all rights to request Federal assistance in any form.

You're proposing to extend that hard–line position to the entire coastline from say North Carolina to the Mexican border, I assume?

--

Thanks,  
– Win

---

• *Follow–Ups:*

- ◆ *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*  
◇ *From:* Jim Thompson
- ◆ *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*  
◇ *From:* jmeyer
- ◆ *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*  
◇ *From:* Joerg
- ◆ *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*  
◇ *From:* John Woodgate

• *References:*

- ◆ *OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*  
◇ *From:* Pooh Bear
- ◆ *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*  
◇ *From:* jmeyer

- Prev by Date: *Re: Is New Orleans finished ?*
- Next by Date: *Re: 74HCT outputs in paralell*
- Previous by thread: *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*
- Next by thread: *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*
- Index(es):
  - ◆ *Date*

Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful

◆ *Thread*