

Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005–09/msg00222.html>

- *From:* "Anthony Fremont" <spam@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 01 Sep 2005 18:43:36 GMT
-

"Joerg" <notthisjoergsch@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:bMGRe.150\\$ua1.21@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:bMGRe.150$ua1.21@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

> Hello Winfield,

>

>>>All persons moving back into the affected area should be required to sign

>>>a release form stating that they know the area is potentially dangerous and

>>>relinquishing any and all rights to request Federal assistance in any form.

>>

>> You're proposing to extend that hard–line position to the entire coastline from say North Carolina to the Mexican border, I assume?

>

> Jim has a point. It does not make sense to rebuild when you know that the same thing could (or rather, will) happen again. When most of the houses too close to the water flooded down the American river out here

I

> could not believe my eyes when residents elected to rebuild at the very

> same spot. I hope that at least the insurance companies socked them

> since I do not wish to pay for the foolish decisions of other. It is

> time that people take responsibility for their own decisions.

You and Jim are incredible. Neither of you seem to know anything about the National Flood Insurance Program and how it works. Rates are set based upon the flood plain you live in, not by how many times you've been flooded, so nobody socks it to them for rebuilding. People that don't purchase flood insurance aren't covered for flood damage.

Homeowners insurance does not pay for rising water damage. You rant and rave about the "foolish" locations that people have homes in, yet you apparently live in southern CA; a place destined for a massive earthquake. Why the dichotomy? What upsets me is that my homeowners insurance IS affected by people like you that choose to live in an earthquake zone, yet your insurance is not affected in any way by people living in a flood plain. Perhaps you should rethink some of your comments.

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*
◇ *From:* Charlie Edmondson
- ◆ *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*
◇ *From:* Joerg
- ◆ *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*
◇ *From:* Richard Henry
- ◆ *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*
◇ *From:* John Woodgate

• *References:*

- ◆ *OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*
◇ *From:* Pooh Bear
- ◆ *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*
◇ *From:* jmeyer
- ◆ *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*
◇ *From:* Winfield Hill
- ◆ *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*
◇ *From:* Joerg

- Prev by Date: *Re: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*
- Next by Date: *Re: Softcore in programmable logic?!*
- Previous by thread: *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*
- Next by thread: *Re: OT: followup on New Orleans – disgraceful*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*