

Re: Lunacy from Brussels

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-10/msg01749.html>

- *From:* zwsdotcom@xxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 13 Oct 2005 12:51:43 -0700
-

bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx wrote:

- > Bad as it is, the Republican administration in the U.S. is worse –
- > before you get too rude about Brussels, you have the review the
- > existing alternatives, which are remarkably unattractive.

ALL the existing options are unattractive, because lobbying technology is now too sophisticated – technology for disseminating propaganda is orders of magnitude better than it once was, but average intelligence is at best increasing linearly.

Anyone with money and a crazed idea can create a movement around it, and through television and other media convince the average Joe Sixpack on his couch that the most pressing issue facing the world today is gun-grabbing, or saving the rare albino ocelot, or legalizing marriage between homosexual cheesemakers. Governments merely have to determine statistically how many people belong to each movement, decide how many votes they need, and hence calculate which idiotic ideas they must support in order to be voted in.

A Democratic administration would be no different. Worse, in fact. There would be even more bullshit doomed social programs stealing from my pocket.

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ **Re: Lunacy from Brussels**
◇ *From:* PeteS
 - ◆ **Re: Lunacy from Brussels**
◇ *From:* bill . sloman
- *References:*
 - ◆ **Re: Lunacy from Brussels**
◇ *From:* bill . sloman

Re: Lunacy from Brussels

- Prev by Date: [*Re: Ethernet in analog out*](#)
- Next by Date: [*Re: Ethernet in analog out*](#)
- Previous by thread: [*Re: Lunacy from Brussels*](#)
- Next by thread: [*Re: Lunacy from Brussels*](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [*Date*](#)
 - ◆