

Re: diode curve

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-11/msg01231.html>

- *From:* Barry Lennox <rianz.15.barryl@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 14 Nov 2005 07:48:53 +1300
-

On 12 Nov 2005 15:28:23 -0800, Winfield Hill
<Winfield_member@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

>Genome wrote...

>
> Much too complicated and time consuming. It's much faster to
> politely interrupt the caller in the 2nd sentence, or as soon
> as you detect the nature of the call, "Excuse me sir, but would
> you kindly remove us from your calling list?" This elicits an
> immediate, "Yes, of course." At which point you may hang up,
> or if you're feeling generous, say "Thank you," and hang up.

I do that, but it depends upon my time and mood. They might also get one of these responses:

Abuse,

"I charge \$5 per minute, please provide your credit card details"

"I have to put you on hold"; placing the phone close to the TV for 10-20 mins.

Ask dumb, dumber and even dumber questions about the product/service until they tumble to it. This is the most time consuming, but can be really quite funny.

Barry Lennox

-
- *References:*
 - ◆ *diode curve*

Re: diode curve

◇ *From:* John Larkin

◆ ***Re: diode curve***

◇ *From:* Genome

◆ ***Re: diode curve***

◇ *From:* John Larkin

◆ ***Re: diode curve***

◇ *From:* Genome

◆ ***Re: diode curve***

◇ *From:* Winfield Hill

- Prev by Date: ***Re: OT – 2.5 gigapixel image***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Low Threshold FET's***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: diode curve***
- Next by thread: ***Re: diode curve***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***