

## Re: Something we can all agree on

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-12/msg03022.html>

---

- *From:* "Richard Henry" <rphenry@xxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Sat, 17 Dec 2005 12:08:56 -0800
- 

"Dr. Anton T. Squeegee" <SpammersAreJerks@xxxxxxx> wrote in message [news:MPG.1e0deca15e682fdf989735@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:MPG.1e0deca15e682fdf989735@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

> In article <MsNof.319\$xF6.166@fed1read01>, rphenry@xxxxxxx says...

>

>> I see the Senate has killed the "Patriot" Act.

>

> Not the whole act, regrettably... Just some portions of it that  
> were particularly nasty.

>

It appears I was a little hasty. I see by CNN today that W has decided he can authorize wiretaps without all that messy warrant business, that he can keep the process a secret, and that he can complain that it "damages our national security" to disagree with him about it.

So who needs the Patriot Act, anyway?

.

---

- *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ ***Re: Something we can all agree on***

- ◇ *From:* onehappymadman

- ◆ ***Re: Something we can all agree on***

- ◇ *From:* John Larkin

- *References:*

- ◆ ***Something we can all agree on***

- ◇ *From:* Richard Henry

- ◆ ***Re: Something we can all agree on***

- ◇ *From:* Dr . Anton T . Squeegee

- Prev by Date: ***Re: OT: Political dead horse***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Best analog design bk***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Something we can all agree on***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Something we can all agree on***

Re: Something we can all agree on

- Index(es):

- ◆ *Date*

- ◆ *Thread*