

Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images (WMF)

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2006-01/msg00191.html>

- *From:* Winfield Hill <Winfield_member@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 2 Jan 2006 06:43:34 -0800
-

Frank Bemelman wrote...

>
> Winfield Hill wrote...
>> Pooh Bear wrote...
>>>
>>> I suspect that's the case. My Windoze (98SE) is fully patched and
>>> up to date with all the Microsoft security issue fixes installed.
>>>
>>> I 'passed' the current online test for this exploit btw. It's not
>>> *guaranteed* but helps put my mind at rest.
>>
>> I dunno, some sites I read say the test fails to properly see
>> the vulnerability on Win98. Others point out the WMF hole is
>> valid back to Windows 3.0 So I'd be very careful.
>
> I wonder where all this expertise suddenly comes from.
>
> The only thing that running such online test proves is that there
> are still folks around who trust and run software just like that,
> on their computers that didn't show any signs of problems ;)
>
> Now that is worth a 'Sheesh'.

It did sound dangerous, so I went to a dozen trusted security sites to see what they recommended, and after seeing each one say, don't wait, get with it NOW, I acted. And posted here. I also posted links to a few of the security sites earlier in this thread, don't trust me, trust the experts on this subject. E.g., "Trust us," <http://isc.sans.org/diary.php?storyid=996>

> I recommend installing MSDOS 2.0 before it is too late. Joerg
> still has copies.

--{}

--

Thanks,

– Win

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
◇ *From:* Rich Grise
- ◆ [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
◇ *From:* Frank Bemelman
- ◆ [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
◇ *From:* Pooh Bear

• *References:*

- ◆ [**A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
◇ *From:* Mike Monett
- ◆ [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
◇ *From:* Mike Monett
- ◆ [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
◇ *From:* JeffM
- ◆ [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
◇ *From:* Mike Monett
- ◆ [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
◇ *From:* Frank Bemelman
- ◆ [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
◇ *From:* Winfield Hill
- ◆ [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
◇ *From:* Pooh Bear
- ◆ [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
◇ *From:* Winfield Hill
- ◆ [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
◇ *From:* Pooh Bear
- ◆ [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
◇ *From:* Winfield Hill
- ◆ [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
◇ *From:* Frank Bemelman

- Prev by Date: [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
- Next by Date: [**Re: Vulnerability test file for the WMF exploit**](#)
- Previous by thread: [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
- Next by thread: [**Re: A Very Dangerous Worm in Windows Metafile Images \(WMF\)**](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [**Date**](#)
 - ◆ [**Thread**](#)