

Re: For the Windoze haters – VS2005

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2006-02/msg01651.html>

- *From:* Keith Williams <krw@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 8 Feb 2006 13:50:41 -0500
-

In article <[dsbigv\\$72t\\$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dsbigv$72t$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>, john@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx says...

"Joel Kolstad" <JKolstad71HatesSpam@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message news:11uif684h1r8c85@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

[...]

I do somewhat agree with your sentiment, though, that Microsoft and "the computer industry" in general seem to try to force continued changes in programming languages, development systems, etc., even when it doesn't

seem as

though such changes objectively are any better, but are really just

different.

(Microsoft Office being a good example here — I'd estimate that for 90+%

of

users, Office 97 works as well as Office 2005.) I honestly believe that

they

do this to fuel the "IT economy," and thereby indirectly elevate their own returns.

Last month after fitting a new motherboard and a nightmare waste of my life. I discovered I could no longer use win98. Was forced to load something called windowsXP.

Other than a moronic cartoon dog attached to the 'search' feature (now disabled) and (I've read) loss of direct hardware control, I can spot no

Re: For the Windoze haters – VS2005

difference at all between the 2 programs.

Well, one of the differences is that you can no longer directly control hardware. ;-) IMO, this is a good thing.

The other major difference is that XP (sorta) works. I prefer Win2K, though XP