

# Re: Current-driving a powerful IR-illuminator array

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2006-04/msg01353.html>

---

- *From:* John Fields <jfields@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Tue, 11 Apr 2006 13:31:01 -0500
- 

On Fri, 31 Mar 2006 08:09:48 -0800, John Larkin  
<jjlarkin@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Fri, 31 Mar 2006 08:38:11 -0600, John Fields  
<jfields@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Fri, 31 Mar 2006 16:03:02 +0200, Jacques  
<jacquesNOT.fournier@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Put the 40 LEDs in serie, so you only need one power supply, say 60..80V, with one resistor-in-serie (a few watts) and a MOSFET to switch ON and OFF.

Jacques

---

From:

<http://groups.google.com/support/bin/answer.py?answer=12348&topic=250>

"Summarize what you're following up.

When you click "Reply" under "show options" to follow up an existing article, Google Groups includes the full article in quotes, with the cursor at the top of the article. Tempting though it is to just start typing your message, please STOP and do two things first. Look at the quoted text and remove parts that are irrelevant. Then, go to the BOTTOM of the article and start typing there. Doing this makes it much easier for your readers to get through your post. They'll have a reminder of the relevant text before your comment, but won't have to re-read the entire article.

Re: Current-driving a powerful IR-illuminator array

And if your reply appears on a site before the original article does, they'll get the gist of what you're talking about."

JF,

the schoolmarm thing is annoying. His response was perfectly intelligible.

---

I wasn't commenting on the intelligibility, I was commenting on the top-posting.

I notice you don't, [top post] and have commented, on occasion, that it's not a good practice, so what's your problem?

---

John Fields  
Professional Circuit Designer

.