

# Re: Liquid explosives

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2006-08/msg02578.html>

---

- *From:* Eeyore <[rabbitsfriendsandrelations@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:rabbitsfriendsandrelations@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 14 Aug 2006 23:43:48 +0100
- 

Richard The Dreaded Libertarian wrote:

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 09:46:25 -0700, Richard Henry wrote:

krw wrote:

In article <[rl1cV5Yxyw3EFwU+@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:rl1cV5Yxyw3EFwU+@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>, [jmw@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:jmw@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx) says...

'Neoconservative' appears to be your term. What's the difference between a neoconservative and a palaeoconservative (apart from age, of course)?

"Neoconservative" is a code word for "Jew", or closer "damned JOO".

And I thought I knew Keith. This outburst is a surprise.

Anyway, addressing the content. It seems to me that the intersection of "neoconservative" and "damed JOO" is the null set. Your experience may be different.

I believe the Israelites have something akin to The Stockholm Syndrome – they've taken on the characteristics (and behaviour) of their former abusers.

Scarily so in fact.

Graham

Re: Liquid explosives