

Re: NTL is shit

Re: NTL is shit

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2006-09/msg01209.html>

- *From:* Dirk Bruere at NeoPax <dirk.bruere@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 06 Sep 2006 16:22:57 +0100
-

Eeyore wrote:

Dirk Bruere at NeoPax wrote:

Dirk Bruere at NeoPax wrote:

JoeBloe wrote:

Google "speed test" and run one of the listed throughput testers.

<http://www.adslguide.org.uk/tools/speedtest.asp>

Two tests

<http://www.adslguide.org.uk/tools/speedchart.asp?id=11572376184577134535>

<http://www.adslguide.org.uk/tools/speedchart.asp?id=11572379648033554590>

Given that it's midnight on an allegedly 4Mb link it looks
BAD

Even so, it's worse than it looks because the data flow is intermittent.

The average of (1.1Mb/s or 806Kb/s) is achieved at 4Mb/s max data rate, and this happens because data flow is halted for seconds at a time.

yes, NTL is definitely SHIT.

02:50 – and my speedtest shows... 1.7Mb/s on a line advertised as 4MB/s
<http://www.adslguide.org.uk/tools/speedchart.asp?id=11575075505520094358>

6795kbps here !

<http://www.speedtest.bbmax.co.uk/>

6735kbps acc to adslguide

Re: NTL is shit

Re: NTL is shit

<http://www.adslguide.org.uk/tools/speedchart.asp?id=11575087644249294518>

With IdNet and BT Max!Dsl.

Graham

smug bastard

--

Dirk

<http://www.onetribeme.uk/> – The UK's only occult talk show
Presented by Dirk Bruere and Marc Power on ResonanceFM

.