

Re: The HP Way

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2006-09/msg02598.html>

- *From:* John Larkin <jjlarkin@xx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 14 Sep 2006 12:04:09 -0700
-

On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 16:12:00 GMT, "Michael A. Terrell"
<mike.terrell@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

John Larkin wrote:

On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 12:43:14 GMT, Fred Bloggs <nospam@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

You're calling me a dud?– hah. And this just because I think
most of
your design work is really ugly, like that horrific ambient
light
detector using the LED display reverse leakage
measurement.

I didn't design that, I just made it up. But why is the idea ugly (he
asks, rhetorically)? It could add adaptive brightness control to a
display with zero added sensors and zero added IC pins.

No, I think you're a dud because you never seem to have any fun. Do
you ever have fun?

Sorry, no
aesthetic dimension means no consideration. And I don't like
any of the
panel layouts on your VME stuff either, so there.

Well, the guys with gigabucks to spend do like them. Have you seen our
new style?

<http://www.highlandtechnology.com/DSS/V470DS.html>

Re: The HP Way

This uses [1] a laser-cut stick-on polycarb overlay, with the led windows backlit from some cool Osram right-angle surface-mount LEDs. All our stuff will look like this over time. I agree that past-generation VME modules, not just ours, tended to be ugly as sin.

John

[1] with apologies to people who insist that inanimate/unintelligent objects (like DNA) can't "use" things.

I guess that fred has no idea that people buying VME are after function, not a pretty face. Most of it ends up in a rack, sometimes with a door to prote