

Re: Source for low current zener in China?

## Re: Source for low current zener in China?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2006-09/msg06129.html>

---

- *From:* Joerg <[notthisjoergsch@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:notthisjoergsch@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Thu, 28 Sep 2006 16:24:11 GMT
- 

Hello Robert,

Had a tolerance issue with MMSZ4690 zeners and the manufacturing plant in China said they were quoted 8 weeks for replacement reels. Of course, that won't work.

Does anyone know a brand that is easily obtained in China and offers low current zeners? What I am looking for is a 5.6V version in SOD-123 package that likes

Re: Source for low current zener in China?

running at  
200uA. I  
am going to  
look for US  
sources now  
but that  
would mean  
several  
extra days  
to get them  
there.

Central Semi has a few lines  
of low current spec zeners.

Yes, they do. CMHZ4626, but non-stock  
everywhere I checked. I found some others  
as well but lead times are as high as 18wks  
and we need them pretty much right now :-(

Then get a sample of the same part with the higher current  
spec and check on the curvetracer.  
Maybe you will get lucky and find the high current part  
behaves at the low end.  
Some parts get a different part number if pass into a different  
bin.

That would be hand selecting. Expensive and very difficult to do since it's  
automated assembly with reel-mount machines. We would have to break the  
whole reel because you never know whether they'd be all the same. Also,  
operating in the knee renders the whole scheme shaky because a couple volts  
drop in the 9V battery could already send it out of range. We need a true  
(smaller die) low current zener in this case.

Problem is, when I detect excessive impedance and ask the mfgs about it they  
seem to become silent. Hmm....

\*NOT\* true; like i said, test one sample part to see if it behaves.

Re: Source for low current zener in China?

Have test results on 50 samples now. However the behavior of samples from these two lots is not enough for a release. We need hard data the mfg stands by. Which I got yesterday from one and may be getting next week from another that would then become the 2nd source.

They all will act in a similar manner – even from lotcode to lotcode (until they change their process, which they will not tell you even if the contract sez so and you do millions of dollars of business).

Ok, I guess they deserve credit here now: Centralsemi did exactly that. They were very open with me about process details and I appreciate that.

If you do not mind using an oddball part in an un–documented and unspced manner, try the SOT–363 package dual PNP by Rohm: the UMT1NTN; use the E–B junction as a zener (somewhere in the 7–8V region). Carried by DigiKey.

If i remember correctly, it is has a well–behaved knee in the nanoamp region and has no negative resistance or oscillations to somewhere in the milliamp region.

Thnaks. However, 7V to 8V wouldn't work here and a new package means a re–layout. In that case we'd do it right and move to the TLV431.

--

Regards, Joerg

<http://www.analogconsultants.com>

.