

Re: OT: "Global Warming" vs. "Science"

## Re: OT: "Global Warming" vs. "Science"

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2006-10/msg03017.html>

---

- *From:* "Michael A. Terrell" <[mike.terrell@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:mike.terrell@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 15 Oct 2006 04:19:05 GMT
- 

gfretwell@xxxxxxx wrote:

On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 23:26:41 GMT, Rich Grise <[rich@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:rich@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

Oh, heavens! I'm not by any means implying that Mr. Twain was anti-science – my reading of his quip, admittedly a bit between the lines, is that he has contempt for those who would take a certain known fact and extrapolate it to such extremes that they could use "science" to make a point, much like the global warmingists are doing, and the ozonists did a few years ago, and so on. :-)

Cheers!  
Rich

"Environmentalism" has eroded from what we used to call conservation to a cult religion, complete with sin and redemption through sacrifice. SUVs have simply replaced the "virgin".  
If you don't like the weather throw another SUV into the volcano.

I'd prefer to use lawyers or politicians. They have a lower resale value.

--

Service to my country? Been there, Done that, and I've got my DD214 to prove it.  
Member of DAV #85.

Michael A. Terrell  
Central Florida

.