

Re: Jihad needs scientists

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2006-10/msg05622.html>

- *From:* lemonjuice <exskimos@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 26 Oct 2006 22:13:30 +0200
-

On Thu, 26 Oct 06 12:39:03 GMT, jmfahciv@xxxxxxx wrote:

In article <iLOdnYf2fLhzz6LYRVnyuw@xxxxxxxx>, "T Wake" <usenet.es7at@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

<jmfahciv@xxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:ehndut\\$8qk_001@xx](mailto:news:ehndut$8qk_001@xx)

In article
<5bmdnTiQpMD62KPYnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@xxxxxxxx>, "T Wake" <usenet.es7at@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

<jmfahciv@xxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:ehl0hs\\$8qk_001@xx](mailto:news:ehl0hs$8qk_001@xx)

In article
<xeidnaGqVPjT7abYnZ2dnUVZ8s-dnZ2d@xxxxxxxx>, "T Wake"
<usenet.es7at@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

<jmfahciv@xxxxxxx>
wrote in
message
[news:ehfm39\\$8qk_006@xx](mailto:news:ehfm39$8qk_006@xx)

snipped

We [tinw] believe that Newtonian gravity is the best description of what happens. Your lab experiment is limited by your equipment and your understanding of what you are observing.

Re: Jihad needs scientists

A new theory which matches the previous predictions and makes new (sucessfully) testable ones will overhaul the old one. Until that happens we [tinw] believe that the current theory is the *best*.

That is how science works.

I know. What you seem to omit is that the old method will still continue to work within the range of the old measurements.

I get real annoyed when people say that Newtonian physics doesnt' work. It does work with crude measurements of certain things.

I know what the scientists mean; but it's a bad form to use because the cranks and the newbies do not know what they mean.

<snip>

/BAH

Newtonian mechanics though inaccurate is understandable to most though for modern day engineering purposes it is unreliable/inapplicable but how many people/engineers are able to get an idea of what General relativity really is about?

lemonjuice

.