

Re: How inaccurate is a 555 or 7555 REALLY?

## Re: How inaccurate is a 555 or 7555 REALLY?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2006-12/msg01992.html>

---

- *From:* [bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx](mailto:bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* 8 Dec 2006 14:38:51 -0800
- 

John Fields wrote:

On 5 Dec 2006 16:48:12 -0800, [bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx](mailto:bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx) wrote:

John Fields wrote:

On 4 Dec 2006 17:19:45 -0800, [bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx](mailto:bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx) wrote:

John Fields wrote:

On 3 Dec 2006 15:10:27  
-0800,  
[bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx](mailto:bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx)  
wrote:

My own  
idea of my  
attitude on  
this news  
group is  
"polite until  
provoked"

---  
Which generally manifests  
itself as provocation =  
disagreement.  
---

but John

Re: How inaccurate is a 555 or 7555 REALLY?

Larkin  
does keep  
on finding  
insults in  
my posts  
that I could  
have sworn  
weren't  
there when I  
composed  
them (and  
don't look  
much like  
insults to  
me when I  
reread  
them).

---

Which is about the double  
standard you exact. If, in  
fact, your  
posts are made without  
rancor, which is ridiculous  
on its face, you  
insist that what must then be  
"carelessness" on your part  
be not  
interpreted as insult, but that  
infinite care be taken with  
correspondence directed to  
you in order that you not  
interpret it as  
insult.

---

Of course, if you could find an example  
where I was unreasonably easily  
provoked, or "accidentally" produced a  
grievous insult, you'd quote it,  
thus converting a pointless troll into  
something worth reading.

---

Just because I can't be bothered to slog through the morass of  
your  
posting history in order to find an example of your nasty  
attitude

Re: How inaccurate is a 555 or 7555 REALLY?

Re: How inaccurate is a 555 or 7555 REALLY?

certainly doesn't mean there aren't thousands of examples out there.

---

Your search skills aren't exactly impressive, but the intelligent reader will still conclude that there are many fewer than thousands of examples out there, with the likeliest number being zero. Since I seem only to have cranked out 6520 postings over the past ten years, there is an upper limit to the examples that you could find.

---

With a total of 6520 posts under your belt, I'd be willing to bet that at least one third of them contain slurs of one kind or another, consciously or unconsciously made since you just can't seem to help yourself.

---

So quote a few. With 2173 to choose from, even you should be able to come up with a few examples.

<snip>

I'm working on a project right now where I'm using an MC68HC908JL8.  
And you?

The MC68HC908 is the 2002 version of Motorola's 8-bit microcontroller, going back back via the 6805 to the original 6800 from 1975. RISC and DSP seem to have passed you by.

---

??? What is it that makes you think "right now" means the same as "yesterday"?

---

I don't. I simply haven't seen much about RISC or DSP parts in the stuff you post, or anything about programmable logic, for that matter.

Still hanging on to that pig-ignorant belief that a 555 is a "bad" chip which no one should use because you won't? Even when it's the perfect candidate for the job?

Re: How inaccurate is a 555 or 7555 REALLY?

Re: How inaccurate is a 555 or 7555 REALLY?

It isn't a "bad" chip, but very rarely an acceptable candidate for any job in serious electronics these days.

---

LOL, what would you know about serious electronics?

Quite a lot. Try doing the system design for an electron beam microfabricator sometime, or a stroboscopic electron microscope. Not a place for a 555-addict.

--

Bill Sloman, Nijmegen (but

.