

Re: That global warming thingy

Re: That global warming thingy

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2007-03/msg02864.html>

- *From:* "Jeff L" <levy_jeff@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 12 Mar 2007 03:20:18 GMT
-

"Eeyore" <rabbitsfriendsandrelations@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:45F41200.B1FFACCE@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

martin griffith wrote:

Boris Mohar <borism_-void-_@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=9005566792811497638>

<http://www.realclimate.org/index.php/archives/2007/03/swindled/>

If they're incapable of explaining it properly what do they expect ?

The Guradian's now talking about Antarctica melting,
<http://environment.guardian.co.uk/climatechange/story/0,,2016243,00.html>

yet even Greenland's pretty much untouched ! Indeed some say the ice is

getting

thicker because there's more water vapour around to create precipitation.

Ditto

Antarctica.

If the Arctic ice melts it's not going to raise sea level as much as an

inch !

I thought that too, or at least a raise of under a foot – but the real problem is not the ice melting, but the water expanding as it warms up. We

Re: That global warming thingy

Re: That global warming thingy

warm the massive amounts of water on the planet up a few degrees, and it expands enough to raise the sea level to very bad levels. Considering the deep sea oceans are 12 + km deep in most places, a small volumetric change of a 1% expansion could roughly raise the levels: $12,000 \text{ m} * 0.01 = 120\text{m!}$

If AGWists scream "Wolf" ad nauseam and nothing happens whose fault is it

if

they make their case look stupid ?

How about considering the positive aspects of a warmer climate. It looks good to

me. No heating on here right now for example. That'll reduce my carbon emissions

for starters. Longer growing seasons etc.....

Graham