Re: Tracking of Killer Asteroids Runs Low on Money and Short on Time

Source: http://sci.tech−archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2007−03/msg05861.html

- *From*: John Larkin <jjlarkin@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
- *Date*: Wed, 21 Mar 2007 19:01:45 −0800

On Wed, 21 Mar 2007 18:22:31 −0700, MassiveProng
<MassiveProng@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

> On Wed, 21 Mar 2007 17:38:49 −0800, John Larkin
> <jjlarkin@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> Gave us:
>
> > "Assume" doesn't mean guess or bluster or argue in this context. It
> > means a clear statement of the problem, to remove any ambiguity, so
> > one can go ahead and crunch the numbers.
>
> Does not in any way jibe with reality though, dingledorf!

Why wouldn't a bullet embed itself in a bowling ball? Are you saying
that's impossible? Are bowling balls bulletproof? Would a .22 LR go
clean through the ball? A .22 rifle shot will go through about 1.5
copies of a Tom Swift adventure novel; I know, I've done it.

If it's not impossible, then it's a reasonable assumption on which to
base a calculation.

John

.