

# Re: Global Warming: Junk science at it's [best] worst

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2007-09/msg01646.html>

---

- *From:* [bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx](mailto:bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* Mon, 10 Sep 2007 00:37:05 -0700
- 

On Sep 10, 4:25 am, Richard The Dreaded Libertarian <n...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sun, 09 Sep 2007 02:45:42 -0700, bill.sloman wrote:

On Sep 9, 9:26 am, Richard The Dreaded Libertarian <n...@xxxxxxxxxxxx>

...

So, we should \_encourage\_ global warming, because when it reaches runaway, it will be like the Biblical Flood, and purge all of the wicked people off the planet, right?

If it gets serious, global warming will cover rather more of the earth than the biblical flood, which probably records the flooding of the Black Sea basin when the Mediterranean broke through the Bosphorus

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bosporus>

It's likely to purge all of the good people as well, along with most other forms of animal life. The end-Permian mass extinction isn't the only one that seems to have been caused by global warming.

And, given this, are you claiming that exhorting the maximum number of people to wring their hands, gnash their teeth, rend their garments, and submit to your dictates of who should do what when, will change the course of the Planet's evolution?

Which way?

Re: Global Warming: Junk science at it's [best] worst

Try reading George Monbiot's "Heat" – ISBN 0–7139–9924–1 – which sets out how residents of the U.K. might cut their carbon dioxide output down to the 0.8 tons per year which might let us hold global warming to only 2.0C.

At the moment., U.K. residents average 9.5 tons per year (US residents produce about 20 tons).

It looks as if air travel will have to go, but otherwise we can hang onto a tolerably comfortable modern lifestyle.

—

Bill Sloman, Nijmegen

.