

Re: OT: getting rid of the medieval warm period

Re: OT: getting rid of the medieval warm period

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2007-09/msg02304.html>

- *From:* z <gzuckier@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 12 Sep 2007 14:12:57 -0700
-

On Sep 11, 6:47 pm, Charlie Edmondson <edmond...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

z wrote:

On Sep 11, 12:04 pm, Charlie Edmondson <edmond...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Ummmm, no, the giant, wealthy funding source is such places as the NSF, environmental organizations, but more importantly, it is the high level faculty and administrators at the colleges who get to make the decisions as to who gets funding and tenure, and who doesn't.

they sure the hell don't. you've got the tail wagging the dog. the researchers have to scabble for external grant money; if they don't get it, the school sure hasn't got the bucks to cover them for more than a short emergency subsistence period. Tenure is another thing, but it's got no relevance to the argument that "scientists can't get funded if they don't believe in AGW"

And I'm still waiting to hear about the giant grants environmental groups are giving to researchers who believe in AGW. And for that matter, I'd love to see some actual research from those 'what climate change' "scientists" who are collecting all the 'what climate change' money. Whatever it is they're being paid for, it isn't research. And just let me point out beforehand, that counting the number of published papers state explicitly "i heartily endorse the notion of AGW" doesn't count as climatology research.

So, Z, I take it you have never worked in academia. Yes, there are a lot of environmental groups that provide grants, as well as all the

Re: OT: getting rid of the medieval warm period
other foundations, public and private, that do so. Most of them are