

# Re: How to develop a random number generation device

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2007-09/msg02819.html>

---

- *From:* MooseFET <kensmith@xxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Sat, 15 Sep 2007 07:30:27 -0700
- 

On Sep 14, 10:16 pm, TheKraken  
<ReachUpandSuckYouDowntotheDep...@xxxxxxx> wrote:

On Fri, 14 Sep 2007 21:51:23 -0700, John Larkin

<jjlar...@xx> wrote:

Well, Windows is not a modern OS, and x86 is not a modern processor.  
Given decent hardware tools, an OS should abort a process that tries  
to execute data.

You're an idiot.

No, he is right. The Harvard architecture may be an exception because  
"trying execute data" may be meaningless in that sort of processor.

There was a joke, in the DOS days, that a certain jpeg file contained  
a virus. It was a joke because it was obviously impossible. A few  
years later, Windows managed to make it happen.

You're an idiot.

Again what he said is true. The "DOS days" includes the early  
versions of Windows. One version of the joke had a JPEG file that  
really did have (what was claimed to be) a virus in it thanks to  
someones electron microscope.

Re: How to develop a random number generation device

And XP occasionally crashes when a user-level process screws up. Not as often as '98 type systems, but it still happens. And Patch Tuesday has become a ritual.

You're an idiot.

So you didn't put in the patches LOL!