

# Re: ADC mux charge injection on commercial DAQ boards

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2007-09/msg05761.html>

---

- *From:* John Larkin <[jlarkin@xx](mailto:jlarkin@xx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 30 Sep 2007 11:20:17 -0700
- 

On Sun, 30 Sep 2007 10:36:12 -0700, CC <[crobc@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:crobc@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

Hi:

A couple years ago at work our computer programmer specified Data Translations DT3010-268 boards for our lab's high speed data acquisition tasks. I was assigned the task of building a BNC breakout panel for all the DT3010's signal ins/outs.

This didn't involve any electronics design, but rather just wiring. I made a 4 layer PCB anyway to keep analog and digital signals over respective ground planes, and since multiple labs had to be equipped like this, it was more efficient than hand wiring each one.

Since I knew there are always gotchas with these off-the-shelf boards, I designed in 7-pin SIL sockets near each analog input BNC to accept a future analog input buffer module, in case it would be needed. I put in buffer sockets for analog outs and the digital IOs too. Then I just jumpered them all for the installation.

This seemed like a good compromise rather than building buffers into each channel by default, which would have made the board design and assembly take much longer than just a simple PCB wiring harness.

As anticipated there were troubles. When they started evaluating the performance of the DT3010, they found that as sample rates increase, the digitized signals incur very large errors. Some commercial calibration instruments such as Keithley Source Meters cannot drive the DT3010 inputs under any circumstances and get correct readings.

I got involved and scoped around until I concluded that the DT3010 directly multiplexes the sample voltage holding capacitor from one channel to another. This cap appears to be in the range of 120pF. So as the board switches channels, the prior channel's voltage gets ejected out of the input BNC of the next input. The settling time thus depends on the combination of the cable length and impedance leading to the

## Re: ADC mux charge injection on commercial DAQ boards

board, and whatever driver is feeding that cable. Typical instrument voltage outputs can't settle fast enough (about 600ns from mux switch to hold) to give accurate readings.

The two labs using these new boards worked around the problems and other tasks took priority over building buffers, until a few days ago. Now we have a lab that simply can't get measurements done with the present level of performance. The scientist has been running at the lowest possible sample rates and using as few channels as possible to get reasonable data. But now he needs something better, so I built analog input buffers consisting of a LT1167A instrumentation amp for the inputs, followed by a LT1220 fast settling opamp in simple follower configuration to feed the DT3010 inputs.

I am fairly confident this buffer will solve the problem when I assemble and test it next week.

But I told the programmer who continually specifies Data Translations products that I think the DT3010 is "broken" for not including input buffers on each channel to isolate the sampling capacitor from the outside world.

He became very agitated and said that it's not broken because all the other makers do the same thing (which I am not certain about). That logic escapes me in any case. He did agree when I rephrased it as, "the DT3010 is a cheap-sh\*\* design" But then he went on to accuse me of having too high standards (which he then insulted with further use of the sh\*\* word).

I simply stated that I have a lab that is broken and can't record data at the DT3010's specifications, and that I am going to fix it. He cannot answer the question of how to fix it himself, because he isn't able to design analog circuits. But he accuses me of having too high standards and that I over design everything by 10x simply because I think that a DAQ system should work as advertised.

Actually, if I had not designed in the hooks to allow inserting analog buffers into my PCBs, I would be faced with having to re-do the entire panel, or building another box containing analog buffers.

The programmer also stated that for every job he does, his goal is to do the absolute minimum work to get something working. And if 90% of the time there aren't problems which come back to him, then he has done too much. The consequence of this is that the expensive lab time and the time of scientists get expended in finding and solving problems. But he takes credit for getting jobs done (which really aren't "done" at all) very quickly and efficiently.

I have the opposite philosophy. I spend a large amount of time in my workshop designing things to be nearly perfect before delivery to the lab, because I believe it is my job to use my time to find and resolve

## Re: ADC mux charge injection on commercial DAQ boards

the problems before installation so that precious lab time isn't wasted. I have never received a complaint that it took too long, only compliments that my stuff works perfectly.

This difference of view has now led to quite a bitter state of conflict between us, which is unfortunate. I'm not sure how it will play out. I am pissed because now I fear this guy has bad-mouthed my work in the past as taking too long to complete and over-engineered. So he makes himself look like he's prolific and I'm not. But I'm the one who winds up with the job to make his partially functional work reach full functionality. And I get put down for doing it "too well."

I maintain my position that the DT3010-268 is "broken." Would you agree or disagree? Should it be the job of the customer of a commercial DAQ board to have to build analog input buffers on every channel before the board can be used to specification for any other than "battery" voltage sources located a few inches from it's inputs?

Good day!

All CMOS multiplexers kick out charge when they switch, plus whatever signal is loaded onto whatever downstream capacitance there is, in the ADC front end, gets switched between channels, too. So the inputs are hardly simple, passive loads.

Lots of opamps go nuts when hit by a mux spike, and can take a long time to recover. They may rectify the spike somehow, and then a high sample rate winds up creating a big DC offset.

The easiest fix is a series R-C just ahead of the mux; something like 100 ohms, which most opamps can drive, and as much C as the signal bandwidth can stand, some number of nF at least. The charge injection can be treated as an average DC current, which produces an offset error into the 100 ohm resistor, so this can have problems, too, especially at very low signal levels.

Another trick is to select a dummy channel, grounded or  $V_{cc}/2$ , between active channels. That keeps channel-channel crosstalk down.

People who design data acquisition boards should at least use very low charge-injection mux's (as opposed to very cheap ones) and buffer properly downstream, to keep the crosstalk capacitance low.

Nowadays, you might almost as easily go with an ADC per channel.

John

.