

Re: OT: Nitrogen filled tires

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2007-10/msg02845.html>

- *From:* John Larkin <jjlarkin@xx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 15 Oct 2007 21:34:26 -0700
-

On Mon, 15 Oct 2007 20:55:17 -0700, ChairmanOfTheBored
<RUBored@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mon, 15 Oct 2007 19:48:57 -0700, John Larkin
<jjlarkin@xx> wrote:

On Mon, 15 Oct 2007 18:56:18 -0700, ChairmanOfTheBored
<RUBored@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mon, 15 Oct 2007 17:00:59 -0700, John Larkin
<jjlarkin@xx> wrote:

Macon?? That's almost as
good as having "...bored..."
do it ;-)

No, MissingProng can't do math at all. He's
entirely number-phobic.

You're an idiot. I can do the math without even making a
single actual
calculation.

That's very Zen: doing the math without doing the math.

It is 100% obvious that it is a futile endeavor, and then
there are several other factors that make it a prohibitive
venture.

Re: OT: Nitrogen filled tires

The term for today is:

UNSPRUNG WEIGHT

You know... that thing that one does NOT want to add to their suspension assembly, particularly at the wheel... ;-]

Gosh, why do people have wheel covers?

Gosh, why do people use Aluminum wheels now?

Why are "wheel covers" plastic now?

Get a clue, John. The patent you cited is retarded, or it would be a viable product by now, and it isn't. The idea you cited SOUNDS good, but is NOT a good idea, for the reasons I cited.

I never said it was a good idea; I said it was possible.

You and JY said it was impossible, but it's not.

The idea of combining a spinning bling thing with an air pump is silly, but it could certainly be made to work.

John

.