

Re: The Electric Car

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2007-10/msg04672.html>

- *From:* BradGuth <bradguth@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 24 Oct 2007 19:55:06 -0000
-

On Oct 9, 2:48 pm, Willie.Moo...@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On Oct 9, 3:05 pm, Richard Henry <pomer...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Oct 9, 10:18 am, Willie.Moo...@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

Sounds like you have issues about money. Its impossible to make money and not create value. That's what it means to make money.

Have you never heard of Kevin Trudeau?

If you end up with a lot of money in your pocket and have not created value, then you are not a business person, you are a crook.

Oh, never mind, you have heard of him.

Anecdotal reports of notable exceptions to the rule doesn't change the rule. Given the low rate of capital formation and the high rate of taxes, if any more than 1/2% of the total profits earned in business were ill-gotten (and thereby subtracted from the economic engine, perhaps with a multiplier if the subtraction involved huge destruction of wealth) then the market would quickly collapse of its own weight.

Consider, the effect of Enron's accounting lies on the market and on the economy as a whole. It had a huge impact for an extended period of time. So, can't you see that if more than half a percent of the

Re: The Electric Car

wealth earned in the marketplace were ill gotten, that didn't truly contribute to the creation of wealth generally, the whole system would collapse.

This is the true cost of crime in places like the former Soviet Union and other nations that are struggling with crime. Huge amounts of cash flow through the Columbian economy due to the drug trade, and flow through Afghanistan for the same reason. But is the Columbian or Aghani economy a sterling example of wealth formation and progress? No! They're all cluster fucks mired in poverty and violence.

True wealth comes from another source altogether – one that understands the distinction between CREATING WEALTH and touching money that doesn't stay in your hands.

Why are you wasting your valuable time and supposed expertise on these pretend atheist Yids that really don't give any tinkers damn about sharing or much less contributing the whole truth and nothing but the truth? (in other words, are you crazy?)

How many others (besides myself) are willing to good faith support the likes of our weird Willie.Moo/(William Mook)?

There's no question that our planet is running itself out of affordable or much less bloody fossil fuels, and that we have only to rely upon solar, wind, tidal and/or geothermal forced synfuels as within the near future of creating whatever liquid or gas like fuels we'll ever have for certain to work with, or else WWII takes over. Obviously there has never been any Yiddish usenet support of such clean synfuel efforts, with the exception of their previously helping out the likes of their puppet Hitler in exchange for a piece of his global domination package deal, that which by the way didn't quite work out according to plan.

Willie, I must say that Raytheon and General Electric N367G corporate 737-75(BBJ) jet is impressive. Is any part of it your's?

I'm certain that Raytheon and General Electric have each taken advantage of every possible tax credit under the sun (and then some), in so much that basically that spendy aircraft has become owned by the public that had to pay extra tax so that those corporate folks didn't have to spend an actual red cent out of their deep pockets.

Are you trying to suggest that you're that well connected?

When do I get a ride in that nifty N367G?
– Brad Guth –

.