

Re: OT: new theory, it's not 42 either

## Re: OT: new theory, it's not 42 either

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2007-11/msg02929.html>

---

- *From:* Rich the Philosophizer <[rtp@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:rtp@xxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 19 Nov 2007 17:55:32 GMT
- 

On Fri, 16 Nov 2007 14:14:58 +0100, Martin Griffith wrote:

bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On Nov 15, 4:40 pm, Martin Griffith <[mart\\_in\\_medina@xxxxxxxx](mailto:mart_in_medina@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:

An Exceptionally Simple Theory of Everything

figure 2 on page 18 is very pretty, didn't understand anything else  
and 42 does not appear in the doc :-(

I didn't understand it either, but I did recognise a couple of the names acknowledged – if it's for real I'll be disappointed. I'd been hoping for an even simpler theory of everything – something I'd have some hope of understanding.

more here

<http://backreaction.blogspot.com/2007/11/theoretically-simple-exception-of.html>

These "theories" all claim to be "simple". Feh. They're all full of words and fury and signify nothing.

If you want simple, go all the way back to Yin and Yang. The Yin is the Universal Cosmic Magnetic Field, and the Yang is the Universal Cosmic Electric Current that was induced by the movement of the Yin.

The Yang is Consciousness, and the Yin is Sentience.

All of the interesting stuff happens at the interface. Everything there is composed, at one level or another, of electromagnetic energy (or matter, since they're interchangeable).

Once you understand the fractal nature of dimensionality, it all falls

Re: OT: new theory, it's not 42 either

Re: OT: new theory, it's not 42 either

into place and everything becomes as obvious as that pimple on your  
ass. ;-)

Hope This Helps!  
Rich

For more information, please feel free to visit:  
<http://www.godchannel.html>