

Re: For all the Americans to watch..

# Re: For all the Americans to watch..

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2007-12/msg02531.html>

---

- *From:* Eeyore <[rabbitsfriendsandrelations@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:rabbitsfriendsandrelations@xxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 17 Dec 2007 00:20:43 +0000
- 

krw wrote:

rabbitsfriendsandrelations@xxxxxxxxxxx says...

ChairmanOfTheBored wrote:

Eeyore wrote:

ChairmanOfTheBored wrote:

Eeyore wrote:

Richard  
Henry  
wrote:

"Eeyore"  
wrote

ChairmanOfTheBored  
wrote:

How  
much  
do  
you  
want  
to  
bet  
that  
he  
was  
not  
ever  
approached

Re: For all the Americans to watch..

by  
any  
LEO  
regarding  
his  
actions?

Or  
better,  
how  
much  
bet  
that  
he  
was  
offered  
a  
handshake  
and  
a  
pat  
on  
the  
back  
as  
opposed  
to  
an  
apprehension?

Apparently  
it  
happened  
a  
couple  
of  
months  
ago,  
so  
presumably  
we  
don't  
need  
to  
guess.

"  
The  
Reno  
police

Re: For all the Americans to watch..

department  
has  
told  
krnv  
that  
Brossert  
will  
faces  
charges  
for  
theft  
if  
the  
store  
owner  
files  
a  
police  
report  
of  
what  
happened.  
"

<http://thevoice.name/?p=3822>

Since  
it's  
a  
civil  
matter  
that  
figures.  
It's  
down  
to  
the  
store  
owner.

"Charges  
of  
theft"  
is  
not  
a  
civil  
matter.

Without a

Re: For all the Americans to watch..

theft being  
reported,  
where's the  
crime ?

Do you think the news piece  
being BROADCAST was  
something other than a  
"report", idiot?

What was broadcast was a video showing a  
guy \*taking\* a flag.

It's not \*THEFT\* until it's shown that it  
wasn't his legally to take.

You're a goddamned retard.

Despite all the times I have ever said this, you have now  
confirmed it  
completely as fact.

YOU'RE the one who doesn't understand the legal requirements for a charge  
of theft it  
would seem.

No, dumb donkey, you refuse to understand that a theft is the  
taking of property, not the reporting of that theft.

As long as it's \*someone else's\* property (and taken without consent), dimwit !

The guy cutting the flag down could have been arrested on \*suspicion\* of  
theft, but  
without clear proof the flag belonged to someone else, the case would fail.

Wrong. It's unlikely anyone would prosecute without a police  
report, but that's an administrative issue not a legal one.

Proof of ownership of the item in question is required.

Graham

Re: For all the Americans to watch..

Re: For all the Americans to watch..