

Re: Airbus pic

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-02/msg01255.html>

- *From:* T <nospam.kd1s@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 11 Feb 2008 00:44:20 -0500
-

In article <MPG.2219544be39bb859989756@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, krw@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx says...

In article <47AE6FA7.9453916@xxxxxxxxxxxx>, paul@xxxxxxxxxxxx says...

krw wrote:

In article <47AE0968.AD5CC7F4@xxxxxxxxxx>, paulh@xxxxxxxx says...

krw wrote:

In article <47ACA15A.5B825A54@xxxxxxxxxx>, paulh@xxxxxxxx says...

[snip]

Boeing
canned
Stoneciepher
for writing a
few love
letters to his
girlfriend.
They
claimed it
might have
been an
'embarrassment'.
There
are far more
embarrassing
things going

Re: Airbus pic

on over
there than
Harry's love
life. Things
that can
have a
material
effect on
shareholder
value. I
dumped my
stock some
time ago.

More like they thought it
could put them in the middle
of a
harassment suit. He violated
the rules, bye. ...if that's the
whole story.

Back when I was at Boeing (when
Stoneciepher was still there) they had
no rules prohibiting 'fraternization' between
employees, unless it
became unwanted. If they did, they'd have to
fire a significant portion
of their workforce. In fact it was a den of
nepotism.

Using their facilities and time? I doubt they smiled upon it
and
told the kiddies to have fun, but be safe.

In fact, they did have a few cases where
employees were paying far too
much attention to their co-workers
consensual personal affairs.
Management informed them that their
display of moral indignation could
produce the very 'hostile work environment'
prohibited by policy.

That one goes both ways. It depends on circumstances,
certainly.

Re: Airbus pic

In an interesting twist, one of the people expressing disapproval turned out to be gay and pissed off that the subject of his affection was dating women.

Yes, that could easily go as far as harassment.

Boeing actually has a pretty enlightened policy regarding employee behavior when its not driven by company politics.

Again, on company time and with company resources?
BTW, when is any thing in a large corporation not driven by company politics.
;-)

They have a pretty reasonable policy with regard to that as well.

You could surf the 'net and use e-mail for non company purposes on breaks.

Different issue. My PPOE had a similar view of the Internet. It was available for "personal" use, but we were *strongly* reminded that use of their resources was at management discretion and that we were representing the company when doing so (I.e. no visiting playboy.com). They excused this use because they were in the computing business and the Internet was a big part of the industry.

When I worked for one state office in particular we had the same basic policy and it was supposed to apply to everyone. We proxied all web traffic and we did monitor the logs.

One day I noted our Chief of Staff liked to look for big, black and beautiful women. Then he'd look for hotels/motels, etc. In addition he'd visit some rather questionable porn sites.

I brought this to the attention of the director of my unit and was told that we do nothing about it. Huh?

Another systems guy joined our team and noticed the same behavior, brought it up and was told the same thing.

Oh yes, I took all the proxy logs with me on a couple CD's when I left

Re: Airbus pic

Re: Airbus pic

that place.

.