

Re: Airbus pic

Re: Airbus pic

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-02/msg01262.html>

- *From:* Richard Henry <pomerado@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 11 Feb 2008 00:07:54 -0800 (PST)
-

On Feb 10, 9:44 pm, T <nospam.k...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

In article <MPG.2219544be39bb859989...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, k...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx says...

In article <47AE6FA7.9453...@xxxxxxxxxxxx>, p...@xxxxxxxxxxxx says...

krw wrote:

In article <47AE0968.AD5CC...@xxxxxxxxxxxx>, pa...@xxxxxxxxxxxx says...

krw wrote:

In article <47ACA15A.5B825...@xxxxxxxxxxxx>, pa...@xxxxxxxxxxxx says....

[snip]

Boeing
canned
Stoneciepher

Re: Airbus pic

for
writing
a
few
love
letters
to
his
girlfriend.
They
claimed
it
might
have
been
an
'embarrassment'..
There
are
far
more
embarrassing
things
going
on
over
there
than
Harry's
love
life.
Things
that
can
have
a
material
effect
on
shareholder
value.
I
dumped
my
stock
some
time
ago.

Re: Airbus pic

More like
they
thought it
could put
them in the
middle of a
harassment
suit. He
violated the
rules, bye.
...if that's
the
whole story.

Back when I was at Boeing
(when Stonecipher was still
there) they had
no rules prohibiting
'fraternization' between
employees, unless it
became unwanted. If they
did, they'd have to fire a
significant portion
of their workforce. In fact it
was a den of nepotism.

Using their facilities and time? I doubt they
smiled upon it and
told the kiddies to have fun, but be safe.

In fact, they did have a few
cases where employees were
paying far too
much attention to their
co-workers consensual
personal affairs.
Management informed them
that their display of moral
indignation could
produce the very 'hostile
work environment'
prohibited by policy.

Re: Airbus pic

That one goes both ways. It depends on circumstances, certainly.

In an interesting twist, one of the people expressing disapproval turned out to be gay and pissed off that the subject of his affection was dating women.

Yes, that could easily go as far as harassment.

Boeing actually has a pretty enlightened policy regarding employee behavior when its not driven by company politics.

Again, on company time and with company resources? BTW, when is any thing in a large corporation not driven by company politics. ;-)

They have a pretty reasonable policy with regard to that as well.

You could surf the 'net and use e-mail for non company purposes on breaks.

Different issue. My PPOE had a similar view of the Internet. It was available for "personal" use, but we were *strongly* reminded that use of their resources was at management discretion and that we were representing the company when doing so (I.e. no visiting playboy.com). They excused this use because they were in the

Re: Airbus pic

computing business and the Internet was a big part of the industry.

When I worked for one state office in particular we had the same basic policy and it was supposed to apply to everyone. We proxied all web traffic and we did monitor the logs.

One day I noted our Chief of Staff liked to look for big, black and beautiful women. Then he'd look for hotels/motels, etc. In addition he'd visit some rather questionable porn sites.

I brought this to the attention of the director of my unit and was told that we do nothing about it. Huh?

Another systems guy joined our team and noticed the same behavior, brought it up and was told the same thing.

Oh yes, I took all the proxy logs with me on a couple CD's when I left that place.

You have just admitted to having committed a felony.