

Re: Airbus pic

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-02/msg01434.html>

- *From:* Richard Henry <pomerado@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 12 Feb 2008 22:32:11 -0800 (PST)
-

On Feb 12, 3:28 pm, JosephKK <quiettechb...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Richard Henry wrote:

On Feb 10, 9:44 pm, T <nospam.k...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

In article
<MPG.2219544be39bb859989...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>,
k...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx says...

In article
<47AE6FA7.9453...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>,
p...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
says...

krw wrote:

In article
<47AE0968.AD5CC...@xxxxxxxxxxx>,
pa...@xxxxxxxxxxx
says...

krw
wrote:

In
article
<47ACA15A.5B825...@xxxxxxxxxxx>,
pa...@xxxxxxxxxxx
says....

[snip]

Boeing
canned
Stoneciepher
for

Re: Airbus pic

writing
a
few
love
letters
to
his
girlfriend.
They
claimed
it
might
have
been
an
'embarrassment'.
There
are
far
more
embarrassing
things
going
on
over
there
than
Harry's
love
life.
Things
that
can
have
a
material
effect
on
shareholder
value..
I
dumped
my
stock
some
time
ago.

More
like
they

Re: Airbus pic

Re: Airbus pic

thought
it
could
put
them
in
the
middle
of
a
harassment
suit.
He
violated
the
rules,
bye.
...if
that's
the
whole
story.

Back
when
I
was
at
Boeing
(when
Stoneciepher
was
still
there)
they
had
no
rules
prohibiting
'fraternization'
between
employees,
unless
it
became
unwanted.
If
they
did,
they'd
have

Re: Airbus pic

to
fire
a
significant
portion
of
their
workforce.
In
fact
it
was
a
den
of
nepotism.

Using their
facilities
and time? I
doubt they
smiled upon
it and
told the
kiddies to
have fun,
but be safe.

In
fact,
they
did
have
a
few
cases
where
employees
were
paying
far
too
much
attention
to
their
co-workers
consensual
personal
affairs.
Management

Re: Airbus pic

informed
them
that
their
display
of
moral
indignation
could
produce
the
very
'hostile
work
environment'
prohibited
by
policy.

That one
goes both
ways. It
depends on
circumstances,
certainly.

In
an
interesting
twist,
one
of
the
people
expressing
disapproval
turned
out
to
be
gay
and
pissed
off
that
the
subject
of
his
affection
was

Re: Airbus pic

dating
women.

Yes, that
could easily
go as far as
harassment.

Boeing
actually
has
a
pretty
enlightened
policy
regarding
employee
behavior
when
its
not
driven
by
company
politics.

Again, on
company
time and
with
company
resources?
BTW,
when is any
thing in a
large
corporation
not driven
by company
politics. ;-)

They have a pretty
reasonable policy with
regard to that as well.
You could surf the 'net and
use e-mail for non company
purposes on
breaks.

Different issue. My PPOE had a similar
view of the Internet. It

Re: Airbus pic

was available for "personal" use, but we were *strongly* reminded that use of their resources was at management discretion and that we were representing the company when doing so (I.e. no visiting playboy.com). They excused this use because they were in the computing business and the Internet was a big part of the industry.

When I worked for one state office in particular we had the same basic policy and it was supposed to apply to everyone. We proxied all web traffic and we did monitor the logs.

One day I noted our Chief of Staff liked to look for big, black and beautiful women. Then he'd look for hotels/motels, etc. In addition he'd visit some rather questionable porn sites.

I brought this to the attention of the director of my unit and was told that we do nothing about it. Huh?

Another systems guy joined our team and noticed the same behavior, brought it up and was told the same thing.

Oh yes, I took all the proxy logs with me on a couple CD's when I left that place.

You have just admitted to having committed a felony.

Please explain just exactly what law says so. The closest I can figure is that T did not send copies to appropriate LEA 30 or 60 days after separation. Non-complaint misuse of company property is rarely

Re: Airbus pic

prosecutable. And unless the logs actually contain evidence of felony activity, LEA is unlikely to act. Besides in a properly designed system even the logs are backed up.– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Its not vandalism, its theft.

.