

Re: On good authority...

Re: On good authority...

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-02/msg03023.html>

- *From:* Jim Yanik <jyanik@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 24 Feb 2008 18:27:47 GMT
-

Jim Thompson <To-Email-Use-The-Envelope-Icon@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in <news:1711s39uenstdsaeajjk2gcbd6vg4jd1n@xxxxxxx>:

On Sat, 23 Feb 2008 18:01:18 -0800 (PST), mpm <mpmillard@xxxxxxx> wrote:

[snip]

I'm really curious now....

Generally, what are your feelings about this Bill Clinton sex scandal with Monica Lewinsky?

This will surprise all lurkers... I could care less.

Are you OK that it happened? Furious that it happened? Don't care either way? Upset that he mostly got away with it? Thought he should be removed from office? Or what???

But I do care that a President of the United States lied about it. He should have declared that he enjoyed it and didn't think it was anyone else's business; but Clinton has no REAL balls ;-)

[snip]

...Jim Thompson

I care that a President abused his position and underlings along with his lying. That combined with his corruption makes him unsuitable as President.

—

Jim Yanik
jyanik

Re: On good authority...

Re: On good authority...

at
kua.net
.