

## Re: current-mode opamps

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-02/msg03312.html>

---

- *From:* Tom2000 <abuse@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Mon, 25 Feb 2008 15:15:33 -0800
- 

On Mon, 25 Feb 2008 13:53:12 -0800, John Larkin  
<jjlarkin@xx> wrote:

On Mon, 25 Feb 2008 09:44:33 -0800, Tom2000 <abuse@xxxxxxxxxxxx>  
wrote:

On Sun, 24 Feb 2008 19:07:18 -0800, John Larkin  
<jjlarkin@xx> wrote:

At  $G=2$ , 100 ohm load, they suggest that both resistors be 715 ohms for good large-signal performance. Playing with the resistors changes transient response but doesn't much affect the apparent 10 pF input capacitance.

The eval board, and the S-params setup, effectively drive the  $n_i$  input from a 25 ohm source. The frequency response curves are unclear on what the generator source impedance may be. They give inverting and  $n_i$  curves small-signal, but the large-signal stuff is all inverting. Are they trying to hide the actual performance?

TI is beginning to disappoint me.

John

John, have you had a chance to compare the TI CFBs with the AD

Re: current-mode opamps

versions?

(Great thread, by the way...)

Thanks,

Tom

The ADI parts are great if you don't need a lot of voltage swing. We use AD8009's, 8014's, 8001's, all great parts without quirks.

The TI's have insane slew rates and bandwidth with  $\pm 7.5$  or even  $\pm 15$  rails, like nothing else I know of.

TI also makes some insanely fast fixed-gain amps, THS4302 and 4303.

John

Thanks, John. Great info. I'm keeping this whole thread for reference.

Tom

.