

Re: For all the group

Re: For all the group

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-03/msg03322.html>

- *From:* JeffM <jeffm_@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 19 Mar 2008 12:55:14 -0700 (PDT)
-

Jan Panteltje wrote:

You probably won't see this, as I post via aioe.org,

As stated, JT has turned filtering off in order to tweak his settings.

but it is much simpler NOT to use filters,

If that **was** the case, there would be no reason for this thread.
After ~March 4, 2008, MANY will disagree with your position.

Else the situation you wind up with is that
you have killfiled everybody else, BUT the spammers,

The moral of this story is: Choose your provider carefully
and be prepared to live with the consequences otherwise.

as THOSE change config all the time.

Surprisingly: Not the case in the current rash of crap
via Google Groups from China, India, Pakistan, Indonesia...
(at least not so much that **common** elements are not repeated).

The nexus of **Google Groups for NG access** and **Gmail as email**
has a 99.99% probability of being a spammer.
(I only see 2 posters with that combination responding to this
thread.)

MANY are going to have to change behaviors.

Those who use Google Groups and haven't posted to this thread
will have to somehow get green-lighted by those using JT's method

Re: For all the group

Re: For all the group

(we haven't seen Pete Smith or Luhan Monet in a while,
so when they come back online, they will have to be whitelisted)

OR

they will have to pass the unladen–swallow test
which those like Rich Webb are using

[http://groups.google.com/group/sci.electronics.design/browse_frm/thread/c81e08ab4c6f9893/266ddc3204469844?q=*](http://groups.google.com/group/sci.electronics.design/browse_frm/thread/c81e08ab4c6f9893/266ddc3204469844?q=*&news:jqbqt354ddntov7j46f1fhqd63sfird3kk@xxxxxxx)
[news:jqbqt354ddntov7j46f1fhqd63sfird3kk@xxxxxxx](http://groups.google.com/group/sci.electronics.design/browse_frm/thread/c81e08ab4c6f9893/266ddc3204469844?q=*&news:jqbqt354ddntov7j46f1fhqd63sfird3kk@xxxxxxx)

....and again, I only see 2 posters from aioe.org in this thread,
so it is simple deduction that most of that domain's subscribers
don't actually READ this group
—and the one recent multi–forger definately tips the balance.

Jim Thompson wrote:

No. A blanket "drop", but
with "good guy" exceptions, of aioe is working great.

The empiricals just shout "SUCCESS"
(though we may hear anguish from the collaterally wounded
in the days and weeks to come).

..
..

Now how much time you spend fiddling with filters I dunno, but
[...]The brain is a zillion neuron computer,
I do not even see them spammers anymore.

Congratulations on your superior brain.
As I read the groups via Google Groups, I get *everything* too.
I don't find it to be fun to be bombarded by asshats' posts
—and THE BULK of the junk I see are REPEATS
which have been posted AFTER that asshat has been reported.

Most folks are realizing
that the problem with the Internet is IRRESPONSIBLE PROVIDERS.

While hostmasters like yours at aioe.org are quite diligent
about **protecting THEIR subscribers** from INBOUND crap,
the problem is **OUTBOUND traffic** (from ALL domains).
The jerk in charge at aioe.org has been given the evidence,
yet he won't block the childish actor
(it appears to be just 1 guy spewing all the abuse).

Via some simple tricks like email address obfuscation,
Google Groups has also *deluded* themselves
into believing THEY are good netizens.
Again: THE PROBLEM IS **OUTBOUND** TRAFFIC.
Again: The *solution* is blocking the rogue addresses/domains.

Re: For all the group

Re: For all the group

Again: That provider won't do it.

In that case, the alternative solution is FILTERING.

....and NOT subscribing to rogue elements of the online world
when there are alternatives available to you
will land you in fewer killfiles.

.