

Re: OT: chinese spam

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-03/msg04795.html>

- *From:* bulegoge@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* Fri, 28 Mar 2008 16:04:33 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Mar 28, 5:31 pm, John Fields <jfie...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Fri, 28 Mar 2008 04:41:37 -0700 (PDT), buleg...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On Mar 27, 2:58 pm, zekfr...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (GregS) wrote:

In article <47EBD205.5BD59...@xxxxxxxx>, P...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

TheM wrote:

How about chipping in a few euros from every regular in this NG and then sending a few people with baseball bats to "educate" these spammers? Surely at least one strain of mafia has modernized enough to offer that kind of service, could be a major revenue source.

Better to contact the chinese Chamber of Commerce and educate them on how these spammers damage the reputation their entire business. They'll

Re: OT: chinese spam

probably have the guy shot.

Its not hard to find <http://www.seriouswholesale.com>

I sent them some information which I can't repeat here.
Maybe I'll send some more to them.

greg

Problem is, if you click on the link you just supplied, then they see that the hit to their website came from this usegroup.

This isn't a "usegroup", it's a newsgroup on USENET, and your posting to USENET through google groups brands you as clueless since there are avenues (some free) you could traverse which would get you onto USENET directly and free you from being considered google manacled.

Kind of like dealing with us directly instead of having to go through an agent.

Can you access the binary newsgroups through google groups?

No.

Do you even know what the binary groups are?

Probably not.

Clue:

I post a verbal description of a circuit to sed and a binary.pdf to abse.

Can you access abse from google groups?

No, and since you can't, if you want to see the schematic then it falls on me to email it to you or to post it to some website which you can access at _your_ convenience, not mine, even though you're the one

Re: OT: chinese spam

who wants the document.

Kind of upside-down, it seems to me since, in that instance, the purpose of USENET has been subverted by google groups.– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

OK, so google has subverted USENET. Is the solution to start bashing someone because they committed the crime of posting to this group via google?

(I am not saying you did)

Build up an FAQ and point out why posting via google is not the best way to get the full benefit of the group. Point out the advantages of using a news reader.

Immediately jumping on people because they took advantage of the google posting tool makes for great sport (I guess), but it does not do much else.

.