

Re: Earthquake hits Mexico this morning

Re: Earthquake hits Mexico this morning

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-05/msg02225.html>

- *From:* bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* Sun, 18 May 2008 09:55:52 -0700 (PDT)
-

On 18 mei, 15:34, John Fields <jfie...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sat, 17 May 2008 17:41:21 -0700 (PDT), bill.slo...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On 17 mei, 19:21, John Fields <jfie...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sat, 17 May 2008 08:26:37 -0700 (PDT),
bill.slo...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On 17 mei, 14:34, John Fields
<jfie...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Fri, 16 May 2008
19:17:49 -0500, John Fields

<jfie...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On Fri, 16
May 2008
16:15:38
-0700
(PDT),
bill.slo...@xxxxxxxx
wrote:

<snip>

Whereas your administration has a
god-given right to ride rough-shod
over the inhabitants of Irak, Iran, Chile,
Guatamala and a long list
of other countries?

Re: Earthquake hits Mexico this morning

The inhabitants? I don't think that's true. AIUI we usually target individuals.

If that is the case, your representatives in Chile must have prepared quite long lists

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1973_Chilean_coup_d'%C3%A9tat

Which seems to agree with me in that we had a list of one: Allende.

That certainly doesn't follow from even Wikipedia's ultra-careful approach.

Wikipedia's article does seem to have been got at.

Naomi Klein's book, "The Shock Doctrine", documents are rather more rabid coup.

Which, no doubt, appeals to you because it supports your position regardless of its accuracy.

Actually, it appealed to me precisely because it tied up with other information I'd had from very different sources.

Your glib comment about it's "accuracy" isn't exactly backed up by alternative sources, and – judging from you past performance, it is never going to be. Typical right-wing non-thinking – "I don't like the conclusion so the data has to be flawed".

--

Bill Sloman, Nijmegen

.

Re: Earthquake hits Mexico this morning