

Re: More Gore

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-05/msg03764.html>

- *From:* "J.A. Legris" <jalegris@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 27 May 2008 07:35:21 -0700 (PDT)
-

On May 27, 9:57 am, John Fields <jfie...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Tue, 27 May 2008 05:55:48 -0700 (PDT), "J.A. Legris"

<jaleg...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

And this is the crux of the matter. The only way to estimate the effects of CO2 is through scientific investigation, and that is what's being done, with some alarming results. It's a mystery to me how the likes of Eeyore, J.T., J.F., etc. have the gall to either ignore or to impugn the consensus of so many professional scientists. Where is their data? Why aren't they publishing commentaries in professional journals? Why don't they completely dismiss other large swaths of science as well? Seems to me that if there's a worldwide conspiracy of climatologists at work then it's probably just the tip of the iceberg!

You tar with a broad brush.

What I did was to question Al Gore's motives, which is hardly the same thing as ignoring or impugning "the consensus of so many professional scientists."

Matter of fact, I even posted a link to an article relating deforestation to global warming, so it seems you've placed me in the wrong camp.

JF

Maybe I've pegged you wrongly, but your evident hatred of Al Gore ("Who is this Al Gore asshole?") seems to be a result of his position on climate-change. There are lots of other people out there with considerably less integrity than Al Gore. For example, compared to the last few Presidents and Vice-Presidents, he would seem to be the most honest of the bunch. He's smart too. So why are you so focussed on him? You could do a lot better by attacking Bill Clinton and his Mini-Me Hillary for trying to subvert the democratic process in their joint

Re: More Gore

quest for personal power at the expense of the republic.

--
Joe

--
Joe

.