

## Re: More Gore

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-05/msg03788.html>

---

- *From:* Benj <[bjacoby@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:bjacoby@xxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 27 May 2008 10:44:41 -0700 (PDT)
- 

On May 27, 12:18 pm, Jim Thompson <[To-Email-Use-The-Envelope-I...@My-Web-Site.com](mailto:To-Email-Use-The-Envelope-I...@My-Web-Site.com)> wrote:

On Tue, 27 May 2008 08:49:42 -0700 (PDT), Richard Henry

I would place Gore somewhere between Carter (too nice to be evil) and Quayle (too stupid).

I would place Gore clearly as EVIL. He's sharp enough to use the sheep for his financial benefit, yet snow everyone into equating his behavior as Nobel-prize level :-(

...Jim Thompson

Jim's got it. It's not about a "hatred" of Al Gore. Al Gore is just a spokesman for a much bigger ploy. But he's a sharp spokesman, cleverly reversing the scientific data in charts used to snow the sheeple into being scared for his financial benefit! Come on! Are you ready to believe a POLITICIAN? Isn't this the same guy who only flew back to Washington from the campaign only ONE TIME for a vote in Congress, and that one was on "gun control" [he voted "for" gun control] , yet he paraded around in orange vests as a "sportsman"? Isn't this the guy who had the election "stolen" from him, even though he couldn't even win his OWN STATE! Perhaps those sportsmen weren't quite as dumb as he assumed. And you (and the public) all somehow assume that THIS GUY is the one to give you an honest scientific assessment of the problems of climate change? We are all in deep doo doo and it ain't because of green house gases!