

# Re: More Gore

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-05/msg03865.html>

---

- *From:* "Michael A. Terrell" <[mike.terrell@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:mike.terrell@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 27 May 2008 18:38:33 -0400
- 

"Kevin G. Rhoads" wrote:

And you (and the public) all somehow assume that THIS GUY is the one to give you an honest scientific assessment of the problems of climate change?

Whether Al Gore is evil or not, manipulative or not --- ain't the question. Just look at the data for yourself.

Looking at one spokesperson, no matter how ubiquitous, and judging the quality of the position by attempting to discern the motivation of that person is not merely unscientific, it is also stupid.

And if you want to take the stupid route, and judge by spokespersonage, where are the diatribes against the other side? They are at least as egregious as any view of Al Gore.

Have any of the "Al Gore is evil" crowd actually WATCHED the video? Do any of you criticise based on real experience?

Do you have to watch a dogfight to know its wrong? Or domestic violence? How about underage porn? Or are you smart enough to know, without watching all the gory details?

---  
<http://improve-usenet.org/index.html>

Use any search engine other than Google till they stop polluting USENET with porn and junk commercial SPAM

If you have broadband, your ISP may have a NNTP news server included in your account: <http://www.usenettools.net/ISP.htm>

Re: More Gore