

Re: More Gore

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-05/msg03931.html>

- *From:* bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* Tue, 27 May 2008 18:30:17 -0700 (PDT)
-

On 27 mei, 22:57, Eeyore <rabbitsfriendsandrelati...@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

John Fields wrote:

"J.A. Legris" wrote:

And this is the crux of the matter. The only way to estimate the effects of CO2 is through scientific investigation, and that is what's being done, with some alarming results. It's a mystery to me how the likes of Eeyore, J.T., J.F., etc. have the gall to either ignore or to impugn the consensus of so many professional scientists. Where is their data? Why aren't they publishing commentaries in professional journals? Why don't they completely dismiss other large swaths of science as well? Seems to me that if there's a worldwide conspiracy of climatologists at work then it's probably just the tip of the iceberg!

You tar with a broad brush.

What I did was to question Al Gore's motives, which is hardly the same thing as ignoring or impugning "the consensus of so many professional scientists."

Re: More Gore

Matter of fact, I even posted a link to an article relating deforestation to global warming, so it seems you've placed me in the wrong camp.

There is a wealth of top-class information that refutes the IPCC / Gore / di Caprio case.

So cite it.

All you have to do is look for it.

So demonstrate this by citing some of this top-class information. Exxon-Mobil can afford the best, so I'm sure that it will be superficially plausible.

And whilst we're on the subject, just why the F*** should anyone listen to an idiot like di Caprio ? At least the anti-AGW lobby are mainly scientists, mathematicians or others working in the energy sector not damn ACTORS !

Come to think of it, why should anybody listen to you? You've mentioned your "genius level IQ" but it isn't altogether clear what you put into your magnificent brain when you were getting an education.

--

Bill Sloman, Nijmegen

.