

## Re: More Gore

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-05/msg04073.html>

---

- *From:* "Kevin G. Rhoads" <[kgrhoads@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:kgrhoads@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Wed, 28 May 2008 16:41:38 +0000
- 

Have any of the "Al Gore is evil" crowd actually WATCHED the video?  
Do any of you criticise based on real experience?

Do you have to watch a dogfight to know its wrong? Or domestic violence? How about underage porn? Or are you smart enough to know, without watching all the gory details?

"Underage porn" -- nice non-sequiter there. Totally different issues, classic misdirection on your part -- two points.

This is exactly what I was asking about -- do you criticize based on information or not. Clearly, Michael, you have indicated you do not need to actually evaluate any information presented to know it is wrong. I'm happy you are so secure in your prescience.

However, science requires something more than prescience.

This form of dismissive, and/or ad-hominem, and/or put-down mixture of purported debunking does not constitute scientifically sound criticism -- however much it may "feel good" emotionally to the person putting it out.

From where I am it appears more like flaming, not scientific debate.