

# Re: More Gore

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-06/msg00929.html>

---

- *From:* [bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx](mailto:bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* Thu, 5 Jun 2008 16:50:09 -0700 (PDT)
- 

On 5 jun, 22:41, Eeyore <rabbitsfriendsandrelati...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

bill.slo...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

Actually, Lindzen is part of the rent-a-mob.

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Richard\\_Lindzen](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Richard_Lindzen)

" Richard Siegmund Lindzen, Ph.D., (born February 8, 1940) is a Harvard trained atmospheric physicist and the Alfred P. Sloan Professor of Meteorology at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Lindzen is known for his research in dynamic meteorology, especially planetary waves. He has published over 200 books and scientific papers. He was the lead author of Chapter 7 (physical processes) of the Third Assessment Report of the IPCC on global warming (2001)."

Yes Bill, it's so obvious that he must be wholly devoid of scientific integrity.

It isn't. His scientific integrity could be perfectly intact if he actually believes what he is saying. His fellow experts believe that he is wrong, which doesn't cast any doubt on his scientific integrity, but might be taken as casting some doubt on his scientific judgement. They might change their minds if someone came up with persuasive experimental evidence that supported the Lindzen case, but that hasn't happened yet.

" He has been a critic of some anthropogenic global warming theories and the political pressures surrounding climate scientists. He wrote an op-ed for the Wall Street Journal in April, 2006, in which he wrote: "In Europe, Henk Tennekes was dismissed as research director of the Royal Dutch Meteorological Society after questioning the scientific underpinnings of global warming. Aksel Winn-Nielsen, former director of the U.N.'s World Meteorological Organization, was tarred by Bert Bolin, first head of the IPCC, as a tool of the coal industry for questioning climate alarmism. Respected Italian professors Alfonso Sutera and Antonio Speranza disappeared from the debate in 1991, apparently losing climate-research funding for raising questions."

Re: More Gore

Now that sounds more to me like the rent-a-mob.

Lindzen's place in the rent-a-mob depends on his collecting consulting fees for publicising his doubts.

He may not like the fact that some people who share his views have been given the cold shoulder, but academics who support unconvincing interpretations don't get a lot of admiration from their colleagues.

Fire every academic who won't toe the 'party line'.

Academics have tenure. Generally, you can't fire them. If they persistently advocate opinions that aren't shared by the majority, the majority isn't going to put them in positions where they control what goes into documents that are supposed to present the current consensus.

I truly hope you burn in hell you VILE LIAR.

Because I don't toe your particular party line?

—

Bill Sloman, Nijmegen

.