

Re: OT: Next decade 'may see no warming'

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-06/msg01287.html>

- *From:* bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* Sat, 7 Jun 2008 16:35:13 -0700 (PDT)
-

On 7 jun, 23:34, Eeyore <rabbitsfriendsandrelati...@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

"J.A. Legris" wrote:

Eeyore wrote:

p.s. interesting comment there about "natural climate cycles"
! Isn't that
what us 'deniers' have been talking about all along?

No, not at all. Idiots like you start with an immutable conclusion and
then go looking for snippets of "supporting" evidence.

You've got me confused with the AGWists. That's what THEY do.

No. that's what you think they do. It helps that you don't seem to
know much about the range of evidence that the AWGists use to support
their case. You don't seem to know anything about the ice core data,
perhaps because your hero – Richard Lindzen – doesn't take it all that
seriously.

<http://www.atse.org.au/index.php?sectionid=1147>

His interest is in the atmosphere, and the ice core data doesn't tell
you very much about that at all.

So, you're denying natural climate change now ?

Scarcely. It keeps on happening, but anthropogenic global warming
throws in a persistent tendency for temperatures to rise. What the

Re: OT: Next decade 'may see no warming'

Germans were pointing out was that the effect that they think they've found would pretty much compensate for anthropogenic global warming over the next few years, when in times past the same effect was good for a couple of years of cooler weather.

—
Bill Sloman, Nijmegen