

Re: OT: Next decade 'may see no warming'

Re: OT: Next decade 'may see no warming'

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-06/msg01319.html>

- *From:* Eeyore <rabbitsfriendsandrelations@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 08 Jun 2008 03:47:05 +0100
-

bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On 8 jun, 01:49, Eeyore <rabbitsfriendsandrelati...@xxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

bill.slo...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

Eeyore wrote:

"J.A. Legris" wrote:

Eeyore wrote:

p.s.
interesting
comment
there about
"natural
climate
cycles" !
Isn't that
what us
'deniers'
have been
talking
about all
along?

No, not at all. Idiots like you
start with an immutable
conclusion and
then go looking for snippets

Re: OT: Next decade 'may see no warming'
of "supporting" evidence.

You've got me confused with the AGWists.
That's what THEY do.

No. that's what you think they do.

It IS what they do.

Mann's hockey stick is the perfect example. No further proof is required.

Your imperfect understanding of the controversy about Mann's hockey stick is a perfect example of your habit of starting with an immutable conclusion and then misinterpreting the evidence to fit your conclusion.

Mann got into trouble about the statistical techniques he used to average noisy temperature data to create a graph that illustrated the recent rise in global temperature. The rise is real enough, and not controversial, but the graph illustrated the point more clearly than it should have done.

It was an outright FRAUD.

Graham

.