

Re: OT: Next decade 'may see no warming'

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-06/msg01334.html>

- *From:* Richard Henry <pomerado@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 7 Jun 2008 21:40:41 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Jun 7, 7:47 pm, Eeyore <rabbitsfriendsandrelati...@xxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

bill.slo...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On 8 jun, 01:49, Eeyore <rabbitsfriendsandrelati...@xxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

bill.slo...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

Eeyore wrote:

"J.A. Legris" wrote:

Eeyore
wrote:

p.s.
interesting
comment
there
about
"natural
climate
cycles"
!
Isn't
that
what
us
'deniers'
have
been
talking
about
all
along?

Re: OT: Next decade 'may see no warming'

No, not at all. Idiots like you start with an immutable conclusion and then go looking for snippets of "supporting" evidence.

You've got me confused with the AGWists. That's what THEY do.

No. that's what you think they do.

It IS what they do.

Mann's hockey stick is the perfect example. No further proof is required.

Your imperfect understanding of the controversy about Mann's hockey stick is a perfect example of your habit of starting with an immutable conclusion and then misinterpreting the evidence to fit your conclusion.

Mann got into trouble about the statistical techniques he used to average noisy temperature data to create a graph that illustrated the recent rise in global temperature. The rise is real enough, and not controversial, but the graph illustrated the point more clearly than it should have done.

It was an outright FRAUD.

Re: OT: Next decade 'may see no warming'

Re: OT: Next decade 'may see no warming'

No content.

Many CAPs.

.