

Re: OT: TV converter boxes

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-06/msg02268.html>

- *From:* Jan Panteltje <pNaonStpealmtje@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 13 Jun 2008 18:10:27 GMT
-

On a sunny day (Fri, 13 Jun 2008 10:53:31 -0700) it happened Joerg <notthisjoergsch@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in <[yuy4k.13787\\$co7.1849@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:yuy4k.13787$co7.1849@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>:

There is a recommendation from EBU (European Broadcasting Union) against interlacing:
http://www.ebu.ch/en/technical/trev/trev_301-editorial.html
So when you say 1050, 1050 @60 fps non interlaced I hope ;-)
Anything displayed on a non-CRT, such as an LCD, will lose a lot of definition
if the source was interlaced.

It was 1080 interlaced and the picture quality was absolutely stunning.

Watch horizontal motion, see if the sets de-interlacer can keep up.

So yeah, those commissions can talk all day long. We've already got 1080 lines on terrestrial TV. Do you?

We will perhaps not even get HD on terrestrial, and who cares.

FYI a well know very popular German station recently decided to stop HD transmissions on sat too (in German):

<http://www.heise.de/newsticker/suche/ergebnis?rm=result;q=prosieben:url=/newsticker/meldung/103498/;words=ProS>
The motivation is interesting too.

I have similar ideas, I like 720p, but HD in itself sure does not have a place in every livingroom these days.

Most older people would never be able to see the full detail, in a normal living room size with normal size set, wearing normal glasses.

It is a bit like 96 kHz audio and golden speaker cables I guess.

But hey, I will not deny you your experience.

Re: OT: TV converter boxes

I understand you have a bit of US chauvinism now you are in the US, but hey it sys a crappy system with multipath reflections, not-available huge boxes, just 5 years behind.

;~)

.