

# Re: Attorney generals trying to shut down usenet?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-06/msg02855.html>

---

- *From:* James Arthur <[bogusabdsqy@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:bogusabdsqy@xxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 17 Jun 2008 01:19:40 GMT
- 

JeffM wrote:

James Arthur wrote:

That problem arises because  
they're compelled to send their kids to government schools.

The reason for public schools  
is to produce useful members of society.

No, it's to support the teacher's unions whilst they churn out  
illiterate, ignorant, droids, devoid of critical thought,  
pre-programmed to consume. But I digress.

If you don't want to be a member of *\*this\** society, find another.

Again, you're intolerant. That's not liberal.

Alternatively, teach your own kids  
—of course, you will have to demonstrate competency  
to produce the same kind of useful educated adults  
that our post-industrial society needs,  
as the public schools are intended to do.

Again, you demand. Parents who home-school need to be  
certified as competent? By whom? By what authority?

Would you exempt them from public school taxes, or,  
by force, make them pay for public schools they don't use?  
Isn't that imposing on their liberty in a most egregious  
way?

Re: Attorney generals trying to shut down usenet?

You're passing a moral judgement here: you'd decide (or have government decide) which parents are fit to raise their own kids. That's monstrous. Can't you see that?

It defies imagination that any parent so motivated could be worse than the public schools, even if they tried.

And it's not in the public interest to deny them. The home-schoolers I've known have all been \_lightyears\_ ahead of their public (or private) school counterparts.

Best regards,  
James Arthur

.