

Re: Ever heard of Potato Semiconductor?

Re: Ever heard of Potato Semiconductor?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-07/msg00847.html>

- *From:* bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* Sun, 6 Jul 2008 21:12:29 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Jul 7, 1:01 pm, John Larkin
<jjlar...@xx> wrote:

On Sun, 6 Jul 2008 19:34:54 -0700 (PDT), bill.slo...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On Jul 7, 5:39 am, Jim Thompson
<To-Email-Use-The-Envelope-I...@My-Web-Site.com> wrote:

On Sun, 06 Jul 2008 12:27:26 -0700, Tim Wescott
<t...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

Jim Thompson wrote:

On Sun, 06 Jul 2008
11:42:09 -0700, John
Larkin
<jjlar...@xx>
wrote:

On Sun, 06
Jul 2008
11:28:23
-0700, Jim
Thompson
<To-Email-Use-The-Envelope-I...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

-- snip --

Re: Ever heard of Potato Semiconductor?

Pico-second speeds in
CMOS are power hogs... my
usual design
cross-over point is around
250-300MHz.

In a WiFi repeater chip I
come from 5GHz down to
250MHz in CML, then
CMOS.

...Jim Thompson

Yes, but you went to school back when
"circuit design" meant "circuit
design", not "simulating things you don't
understand on software you
don't understand using a process you don't
understand."

Yep. I was educated 1958-1962 BC (before computers ;-)

|
Must have been a crap university – the University of Melbourne in
Australia had a computer on-site from 1956

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CSIRAC>

I suppose that, for some people, being offensive is more important
than being right.

<http://www.cedmagic.com/history/whirlwind-computer.html>

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Whirlwind_\(computer\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Whirlwind_(computer))

IIRR Jim claims to have gone to MIT, and MIT would have had a computer
back then – maybe even more than one. If he managed to be unaware of
this, it would suggest that he has been out of touch with reality for

Re: Ever heard of Potato Semiconductor?

Re: Ever heard of Potato Semiconductor?

quite some time now.

Pointing this out may be offensive – but Jim seems to go out of his way to be offensive about me, so I can't see why you are getting upset about that.

You also claim that I've posted something that isn't true, which – since I can't find any error of fact in my post – I do find offensive. I'd be grateful if you would do me the courtesy of identifying this error of fact. Or apologising if you can't.

—

Bill Sloman, Nijmegen

.